

Virginia:

AT A REGULAR MEETING of the Nelson County Board of Supervisors at 2:00 p.m. in the General District Courtroom located on the third floor of the Nelson County Courthouse, in Lovingston, Virginia.

Present: Jesse N. Rutherford, East District Supervisor – Vice Chair
Ernie Q. Reed, Central District Supervisor – Chair
Thomas D. Harvey, North District Supervisor
Robert G. “Skip” Barton, South District Supervisor
J. David Parr, West District Supervisor
Stephen A. Carter, County Administrator
Amanda B. Spivey, Administrative Assistant/Deputy Clerk
Candice W. McGarry, Director of Finance and Human Resources
Susan Rorrer, Director of Information Systems
Jackie Britt, Registrar

I. CALL TO ORDER

Mr. Reed called the meeting to order at 2:02 p.m., with five (5) Supervisors present to establish a quorum.

- A. Moment of Silence
- B. Pledge of Allegiance – Mr. Rutherford led in the Pledge of Allegiance.

II. PUBLIC COMMENTS

- 1. Edith Napier, Arrington, VA

Ms. Napier spoke to the Board regarding ambulance service and an issue with not being able to be taken to the hospital requested. She noted that she had recently had an experience where the individual was denied being taken to the hospital where they had received care prior. She also noted that a neighbor had recently experienced the same issue over the Christmas holiday and was not transported to the requested hospital where their doctor was affiliated. She explained the importance of patients being taken to the hospital where their medical records are on file and their doctors are located. She asked that the Board look into the issue.

III. REORGANIZATION OF THE BOARD AND ANNUAL ORGANIZATIONAL MEETING

- A. Election of Chair and Vice Chair

Mr. Reed turned the meeting over to Mr. Carter who noted that State law and County Code required the Board to conduct an annual organizational meeting at which the Chair and Vice Chair are elected.

Mr. Carter opened the floor for Chair nominations for 2022. Mr. Harvey moved to appoint Mr. Rutherford as Chair for 2022. Mr. Barton seconded the motion. There being no other nominations, Mr. Harvey moved to close nominations and Mr. Parr seconded the motion. Supervisors voted unanimously (5-0) by roll call vote to closed the nominations for Chair. There being no further discussion, Supervisors voted unanimously (5-0) by roll call vote to approve the nomination and elect Mr. Rutherford as Chair.

Mr. Carter then opened the floor for Vice Chair nominations for 2022. Mr. Reed nominated Mr. Barton to serve as Vice Chair. Mr. Harvey seconded the motion. There being no further nominations, Mr. Reed moved to close nominations. Mr. Parr seconded the motion. Supervisors voted unanimously (5-0) by roll call vote to close the nominations for Vice Chair. There being no further discussion, Supervisors voted unanimously (5-0) by roll call vote to approve the nomination and elect Mr. Barton as Vice Chair.

- B. Resolution – **R2022-01** Annual Organizational Meeting of the Board

Mr. Carter presented the draft resolution which provided details on the annual meeting and appointments for 2022.

Mr. Rutherford noted to the Board that his chairmanship on the Thomas Jefferson Planning District Commission would expire in June and after that, he would be stepping down. Mr. Rutherford indicated that he was interested in serving on the Planning Commission and noted his appreciation for Mr. Reed’s service on the Commission for the past two years. Mr. Reed expressed the importance of the upcoming year as work on the Comprehensive Plan would be taking place and noted that he wished to continue to serve for one more term. Mr. Parr echoed appreciation for Mr. Reed’s service and noted that would be nice to have someone serve from the other end of the 151 corridor. He felt that Mr. Rutherford would be able to bring his experience from the TJPDC to the Planning Commission. Mr. Carter suggested that the best way to resolve the consideration was for the Board to make a motion.

January 11, 2022

Mr. Parr moved to appoint Mr. Rutherford as Planning Commission representative. Mr. Harvey seconded the motion. There being no further discussion, Supervisors voted (3-2) by roll call vote to approve the motion, with Mr. Barton and Mr. Reed voting no.

Mr. Rutherford asked if any other adjustments needed to be made for appointments for the upcoming year.

Mr. Barton made a motion to approve Mr. Parr as the Board representative for the Social Services Board. Mr. Reed seconded the motion. There being no further discussion, Supervisors voted unanimously (5-0) by roll call vote to approve the motion.

Mr. Reed moved to approve Resolution **R2022-01** with the changes in appointments as discussed. Mr. Parr seconded the motion. There being no further discussion, Supervisors voted unanimously (5-0) by roll call vote to approve the motion and the following resolution was adopted:

**RESOLUTION R2022-01
NELSON COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
ANNUAL MEETING**

JANUARY 11, 2022

WHEREAS, pursuant to the applicable provisions of §15.2-1416 of the Code of VA and Chapter 2, Article 2 of the Code of the County of Nelson, VA, the Nelson County Board of Supervisors conducts an annual organizational meeting at the Board’s first meeting in January of each year; and,

WHEREAS, matters to be determined by the Board of Supervisors in addition to the appointment of a Chairman and Vice-Chairman include the establishment of a schedule of regular and, as applicable, special meetings, the establishment of rules of order, the establishment of (a) meeting agenda(s), and the establishment of Board appointments, including a Clerk and Deputy Clerk to the Board of Supervisors, a Zoning Administrator and a Hazardous Material Coordinator.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, by the Nelson County Board of Supervisors as follows:

Regular meetings of the Board of Supervisors shall be conducted during Calendar Year 2022 in the General District Courtroom located in the Nelson County Courthouse in Lovingston, VA on the second Tuesday of each month, beginning at 2:00 p.m., and reconvening thereafter at 7:00 p.m. Should the regular meetings fall on any legal holiday, the meeting shall be held on the next following regular business day, without action of any kind by the Board; unless otherwise cancelled. Should the Chairman or Vice Chairman (if the Chairman is unable to act) find and declare that weather or other conditions are such that it is hazardous for members to attend regular meetings; the meeting(s) will be continued on the following Tuesday. Such finding shall be communicated to the members, staff, and the press as promptly as possible. All hearings and other matters previously advertised shall be conducted at the continued meeting(s) and no further advertisement is required.

Special meetings of the Board of Supervisors may be convened from time to time, as determined by the Board of Supervisors in accordance with the applicable provisions of the Code of VA and the Code of the County of Nelson, VA.

In accordance with the Code of the County of Nelson, VA, Robert’s Rules of Order, shall be observed as the rules for conducting the business of the Board of Supervisors and the agenda for all meetings of the Board of Supervisors shall be established by the Clerk of the Board in consultation with the Chairman.

Board of Supervisors appointments for Calendar Year 2022 shall be as follows:

Thomas Jefferson Planning District Commission:	Jesse Rutherford
Thomas Jefferson Planning District Commission:	Dylan Bishop
Director of Emergency Services:	Thomas D. Harvey
Emergency Services Coordinator:	Russell Gibson
Piedmont Workforce Network Council:	Robert Barton
Clerk to the Nelson County Board of Supervisors:	Stephen A. Carter

Deputy Clerk to the Nelson County Board of Supervisors:	Amanda B. Spivey
Zoning Administrator:	Dylan Bishop
Hazardous Materials Coordinator:	Russell Gibson
Thomas Jefferson EMS Council:	Russell Gibson
Nelson County EMS Council:	David Parr
Thomas Jefferson Community Criminal Justice Board:	Daniel Rutherford
Nelson County Social Services Board:	David Parr
Nelson County Planning Commission:	Jesse Rutherford

IV. CONSENT AGENDA

C. Resolution – **R2022-04** Recognition of Donald Bailey

Mr. Rutherford pulled Resolution R2022-04 from the Consent Agenda and asked Mr. Donald Bailey to come forward. Mr. Harvey read Resolution 2022-04 aloud. Mr. Harvey then moved to approve Resolution R2022-04 and Mr. Barton seconded the motion. There being no further discussion, Supervisors voted unanimously (5-0) by roll call vote to approved the motion and the following resolution was approved:

**RESOLUTION R2022-04
NELSON COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
RECOGNITION OF DONALD H. BAILEY**

WHEREAS, Donald H. Bailey became active in Nelson County Elections in 2006; and

WHEREAS, Donald H. Bailey served as an Officer of Election from 2006 until 2010; and

WHEREAS, Donald H. Bailey served as Member and Chairman of the Nelson County Electoral Board from 2010 until 2021; and

WHEREAS, Donald H. Bailey has faithfully and impartially contributed to the fairness, accuracy and integrity of elections in Nelson County for many years; and

WHEREAS, the members of the Nelson County Board of Supervisors wish to commend and thank Donald H. Bailey for his exemplary service to Nelson County, which is indeed appreciated by all our citizens;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Nelson County Board of Supervisors does hereby officially recognize Donald H. Bailey, and respectfully asks all citizens alike to join in expressing their sincere gratitude and appreciation for the many long hours of outstanding service and commitment to our community he has given.

The Board thanked Mr. Bailey for his service. Mr. Bailey noted that it was not always an easy task but an honor and privilege to work in a non-partisan way on the Electoral Board. He commended Ms. Britt and her staff and thanked the Board for their support.

The Board considered the remaining items on the Consent Agenda. Mr. Parr moved to approve the Consent Agenda and Mr. Harvey seconded the motion. There being no further discussion, Supervisors voted unanimously (5-0) by roll call vote to approve the motion and the following resolutions were adopted:

A. Resolution – **R2022-02** Minutes for Approval

**RESOLUTION R2022-02
NELSON COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
APPROVAL OF MINUTES
(November 9, 2021)**

RESOLVED, by the Nelson County Board of Supervisors that the minutes of said Board meeting conducted on **November 9, 2021** be and hereby are approved and authorized for entry into the

official record of the Board of Supervisors meetings.

B. Resolution – R2022-03 Budget Amendment

**RESOLUTION R2022-03
NELSON COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
AMENDMENT OF FISCAL YEAR 2021-2022 BUDGET
NELSON COUNTY, VA
JANUARY 11, 2022**

I. Appropriation of Funds (General Fund)

<u>Amount</u>	<u>Revenue Account (-)</u>	<u>Expenditure Account (+)</u>
\$ 81,022.46	3-100-009999-0001	4100-091030-5665
\$ 1,704.00	3-100-002404-0035	4-100-031020-7042
\$ 512.91	3-100-002404-0001	4-100-031020-5419
\$ 83,239.37		

V. PRESENTATIONS

A. VDOT Report

Mr. Rutherford noted that VDOT was not able to attend the meeting.

B. Report from The Millennium Group

Mr. Rutherford invited the Millennium Group to come forward to present on the Nelson Heritage Center. Ms. Johnette Burdette, Executive Director of the Nelson Heritage Center, introduced herself to speak on behalf of the Millennium Group and the Center.

Ms. Burdette reported on current projects that would help serve the community. She noted that the Millennium Group’s Board was able to secure a grant to fund her position as Executive Director. She reported that the gymnasium had a newly installed roof, and was in the process of receiving new flooring, interior rehabilitation to include new windows and paint and a new HVAC system. Ms. Burdette also highlighted a solar panel project had recently been completed with funding from an anonymous grant. Ms. Burdette reported that the Center is working to partner with Nelson County Parks and Recreation to provide use of the athletic fields and gym.

Ms. Burdette then gave updates on the progress being made on locating the Nelson County Health Department in the Heritage Center and that negotiations were underway. She indicated that the Center had secured an architectural firm, Moore Architecture of Lynchburg. She noted that architect Kelvin Moore was present also. Ms. Burdette provided a brief overview of the history of the Heritage Center which was originally the segregated high school for African American students from all parts of the County, and later after integration, the junior high school serving the entire County. She pointed out that the Heritage Center was located about two miles from the Health Department’s current location.

Ms. Burdette noted that in the last two years, the Center had secured an architectural firm, had an initial meeting with Health Department staff, followed by subsequent meetings and a tour of the Heritage Center. She stated that test fit plans had been reviewed, revised and approved, based on the needs and requirements for the Health Department to operate. Ms. Burdette noted that Mr. Moore and Wall Construction were both present and available to answer questions if needed. Ms. Burdette reported that the subcontractors had been selected and a construction timeline had been created with completion by the end of 2022. She then noted that the construction plans had been developed and the construction permit had been applied for. Ms. Burdette noted the Heritage Center had a draft lease under review with the Department of General Services and indicated that they expected to have the final lease within the next two weeks. She expressed that the Center had been able to secure financial resources to complete the project on schedule to allow the Health Department adequate time to transition into the space. She noted that the Millennium Group would need help from the County to ensure that all permits are reviewed in a timely manner as they are on a tight timeline. She reiterated that Moore Architecture and Wall Construction had affirmed that the deadline could be met as long as the Heritage Center could meet the deadlines associated with their permits.

Mr. Rutherford thanked Ms. Burdette for her time and asked if she could provide a pdf document of the plans. Ms. Burdette affirmed that they could provide those to the Board. The Board had no further questions.

Mr. Rutherford felt that it would be best to move item VI C as it pertained to the Health Department location.

C. Relocation of Health Department and Social Services

Mr. Carter began the presentation noting that the information provided was information the Board had previously seen and it was not in any way meant to compete with the Heritage Center’s presentation. Mr. Carter noted that the proposed site was in the Callohill Business Park on County owned property. He provided three concepts created by Architectural Partners, for a joint Social Services and Health Department facility, noting that they varied in footprint size, particularly for the Department of Social Services.

He presented Concept A with a 5,000 square foot space for the Health Department and 5,000 square foot Social Services space at a cost of about \$3.6 million; Concept B with a 5,000 square foot area for the Health Department and 6,000 square foot for Social Services at an estimated \$3.8 million; and Concept C with a 6,000 square foot Health Department and a 6,500 square foot Social Services area at \$4.4 million. Mr. Carter showed several photos as examples of what the building exterior could look like. He noted that the cost estimates included the site work and roadway, everything needed for the buildings to be turnkey.

Mr. Carter noted that County Staff had worked with Virginia Resources Authority to come up with some potential financing options and then presented the following debt service schedule with a list of assumptions for each scenario.

The scenarios assume:

- Project fund amounts based on Concepts A, B, and C;
- 15 year and 20 year amortizations (with 1st principal 11/1/2023);
- Estimated market rates as of 1/5/2022;
- Coupon and yield spread assumptions based on VRA’s VFPF Series 2021C actual pricing;
- 10-year par call on the underlying VRA bonds;
- 1% of loan par estimated for VRA costs of issuance;
- 0.5% of loan par estimated for underwriter’s discount;

Market rates are subject to change and any loan request would be subject to VRA underwriting and credit approval, but with these assumptions, the below table lists the summary estimated results.

Nelson County - Sample D5 Schedule Summary (est. rates 1.5.2022)

Concept	Project Fund	Term	Est. All-In TIC	Est. Max. Annual Debt Service
A	\$ 3,579,954	15 years	1.70%	\$ 265,981
A	3,579,954	20 years	2.02%	215,869
B	3,813,954	15 years	1.70%	283,544
B	3,813,954	20 years	2.03%	229,675
C	4,359,766	15 years	1.70%	323,338
C	4,359,766	20 years	2.02%	262,372

He indicated that County Staff had focused on Concept B with 5,000 square feet for the Health Department and 6,000 square feet for Social Services. Mr. Rutherford asked if the cost would be about half of the estimate, if they just had the space for Social Services. Mr. Carter noted it would be just over half of the cost. He noted that the Social Services payments for County related maintenance and lease payments from the Department of General Services for the Health Department could help offset the debt service and the debt service could be further offset if the Board decided to pay some of the costs up front. Mr. Carter concluded his presentation, noting that the architects had indicated that the project could be completed on time and have the Health Department relocated into the proposed facility by the end of their current lease.

Mr. Reed asked about input from the Department of Social Services regarding their needs. Mr. Carter noted that the floor plans would meet state requirements. Mr. Rutherford noted that with capital projects, the floor plan may change some as the project moves forward.

Mr. Rutherford thought it may be good for the Board to look at a standalone Social Services building at Callohill. He noted the work done by the Millennium Group. Mr. Reed was in agreement with Mr. Rutherford. He noted the time and investment that the Millennium Group had put towards solving the Health Department’s needs. Mr. Rutherford noted that it would be helpful have the ability to add in the Health Department later if in the unlikely event, the Health Department was not able to locate in the Heritage Center. Mr. Rutherford noted that he still preferred to have the Health Department centrally

located. He acknowledged all of the work that the Millennium Group had done to improve the Heritage Center and noted the County had helped contribute to that. Mr. Barton noted the community stepping up.

Mr. Parr asked if there had been a meeting the between Building Inspections and Millennium Group. Mr. Carter noted there had not been a meeting, rather he had spoken with Ms. Burdette about the plans that were submitted. The Board asked if Ms. Burdette could come to the podium to speak. Ms. Burdette noted that members of the Millennium Group's subcommittee working on the project had a meeting with the architect and construction company ensure that the concerns from the Building Official could be addressed and still allow them to be able to meet their deadline and budget.

Mr. Harvey felt that they had a County project and a group was trying to make it happen. He knew they were looking out for their best interests, and having a tenant in the building would mean a lot. Mr. Harvey stated that the Board needed to do the right thing for the whole County and for the people. He noted his district was about 30-35 miles from the Heritage Center. He wanted to make sure that the County's interest was served.

Mr. Carter noted to the Board that if they took the Health Department on as a County project, once the debt was retired, the lease payments would come directly to the County as revenue.

Mr. Harvey noted that it seems that once they give a school away, there is a need to lease it back. Mr. Barton felt that leasing the schools back would accrue great benefits to the County. He felt that both Rockfish and the Nelson Center were important parts of the community. Mr. Reed pointed to the Nelson Center as a success.

Mr. Parr asked if the Building official, Chuck Miller, could come forward to provide input. Mr. Miller noted that he had done a preliminary review of the plans as a courtesy to the County, noting that plans are not typically reviewed until an application is submitted for a building permit. He reported that some changes were needed to make the plans code compliant. Mr. Miller noted his concerns regarding firewalls and the needed support for them from the foundation up. He pointed out that there was not any HVAC included in the plan.

Mr. Harvey did not feel they were ready to make a decision. Mr. Rutherford noted that they could act on the Social Services only. Mr. Barton asked what other offices could be incorporated into the space.

Mr. Parr asked the architect and contractor for the Millennium Group/Heritage Center to speak.

Mr. David Wall of Wall Construction, and Mr. Kelvin Moore of Moore Architecture introduced themselves to the Board. Mr. Parr asked for them to speak on Mr. Miller's report on the plans. Mr. Moore spoke regarding the plans submitted as of the meeting date for review. Mr. Moore noted that with the formal submission for a building permit, Mr. Miller would review the plans per the building code and report his findings back to him. Mr. Moore noted that he would then make changes and resubmit for approval. He noted next steps after that would be to finalize costs and get under contract with Wall Construction.

Mr. Wall noted the permit had been filed on the day of the Board meeting and reiterated that in Nelson, the plan review did not happen until filing of permit for full review. He said that he did not see any schedule concerns and indicated that they had also built in budget contingency. Mr. Wall reported that he and Mr. Moore had reviewed Mr. Miller's comments. Mr. Moore indicated that in their review, they did not see anything that would add a tremendous cost to the project.

Mr. Wall indicated that he had already contacted his long lead time subcontractors to start working on materials and noted they were prepared to move. Mr. Wall noted that his biggest concern had been sourcing materials. He planned to have substantial completion by November 1st so they can then work through the punch list and have the building turned over to the Health Department by December 1st.

Mr. Harvey asked Mr. Wall if he had any issues with converting a school to the health department. Mr. Wall noted that the school building was built with non-combustible materials and built to commercial standards, which made it easier to proceed. Mr. Harvey asked if he had any idea of the cost to build a brand new building. Mr. Wall estimated that the cost would be double the estimate to renovate the existing space at the Heritage Center. Mr. Wall estimated that it would take \$740,000 to complete project at Heritage Center.

Mr. Rutherford asked for input from the Board, he felt they were still another month away from making a decision. He agreed Mr. Parr noted they had it on the agenda to make a decision last month but they had decided to wait until the January meeting to have more information.

Mr. Reed did not feel a decision was necessary that day. He felt they should consider a plan for a standalone Social Services building and pointed out the benefits of having those services in a standalone facility which included security and the emotional stigma of going to Social Services. He pointed out that

the Health Department was more of a State run facility that serves the citizens of the County. He did not feel that it was necessary for both offices to occupy the same building. He was grateful for the Millennium Groups presentation and wanted to see that project move forward.

Mr. Parr asked about the payment for use of the space at the Heritage Center. Mr. Carter noted there was a split cost for use where the State pays about 60% of the Health Department lease, and the County pays the remaining portion of about 40%. Mr. Carter pointed out that once the debt was paid on a County owned facility, the lease money would still come to the County.

Mr. Rutherford noted that they did not need to take any action during the meeting. Mr. Barton wanted to know the opinion of the Health Department. Mr. Harvey noted that the County would build the building and the Health department would use it. Mr. Carter noted that the County would need to provide a proposal to the Department of General Services and then the Department of General Services would ultimately decide on the space leased.

Mr. Rutherford asked if the Board wanted to consider the subject at a later date, or consider one building for Social Services. Mr. Harvey felt that they needed to have a meeting solely dedicated to discussing the options. Mr. Reed did not think it was necessary to meet and wanted to move forward with a standalone Social Services building, and allow the Heritage Center to move forward with their plans.

Mr. Parr felt there was enough concern from the Board members, that the subject needed further conversation. Mr. Reed did not want to send a message that the County was not grateful and supportive of the work that the Heritage Center was doing. He did not want to delay the decision further.

Mr. Reed made a motion to have Architectural Partners work on the costs and plans for a standalone Social Services facility on the same location at Callohill where they were considering a joint Health Department and Social Services building. Mr. Barton seconded the motion. Mr. Parr agreed that information would be helpful to have. The Board agreed to discuss the information at a special meeting in January or February to consider further. There being no further discussion, Supervisors voted unanimously (5-0) by roll call vote to approve the motion.

The Board took a five-minute recess.

VI. NEW & UNFINISHED BUSINESS

A. Consideration of Compensation Study (R2022-05)

Mr. Carter presented a compensation study proposal from Management Advisory Group International, Inc (MAGI Inc). He noted that MAGI is currently under contract with the Albemarle Charlottesville Regional Jail for a compensation study. Mr. Carter explained that the County could piggyback through cooperative procurement provision just as the jail was able to do. Mr. Carter presented price proposal with a base cost of \$22,000, with an additional \$2500 for travel expenses. Mr. Carter added that MAGI can assist with the development of job descriptions at \$75 per description, noting the County has about 45 positions for an additional \$3,375. He included that if the Board wanted to include the Department of Social Services, it would cost an additional \$4,000. Mr. Carter summarized the cost to include the presented options at \$31,875. He noted that the Board did not have to include the options but asked that the Board consider them. Mr. Carter explained that the base cost included the study of both County direct and constitutional office employees. He noted that if the Board approved the resolution, County staff would need to bring back a budget amendment to pay for the cost of the study.

Mr. Barton wanted to know why they would not include Social Services. Mr. Carter noted that Social Services is a quasi-state local organization and like the Constitutional offices, their salaries are set by state, not by the County. He also indicated that Social Services is the only local department that the County does not supplement through an annual supplement. Mr. Barton noted that he would like to supplement Social Services positions. Mr. Carter explained that it would be incumbent upon the county to supplement Social Services if the study suggested salary increases, just as the County supplements constitutional office salaries.

Mr. Barton moved to approve Resolution **R2022-05**, with the inclusion of Social Services positions. Mr. Carter asked for the Board's consideration to include job descriptions also. Mr. Harvey asked for Mr. Carter to overview the total costs with the options presented. Mr. Carter reiterated the total cost of \$31,875 which included travel, expenses, job descriptions and the addition of Social Services. Mr. Barton amended his motion to include the evaluation of job descriptions and not to exceed \$31,875. Mr. Reed seconded the motion. There being no further discussion, Supervisors voted (5-0) by roll call vote to approve the motion as amended and the following resolution was adopted:

January 11, 2022

**RESOLUTION R2022-05
NELSON COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
AUTHORIZATION TO CONTRACT PROFESSIONAL MANAGEMENT CONSULTING
SERVICES**

WHEREAS, the Nelson County Board of Supervisors wishes to perform a compensation study to review the compensation levels for both County direct and constitutional office employees; and,

WHEREAS, the Nelson County Board of Supervisors in session on November 9, 2021 made a request for County staff to obtain costs for a compensation study; and,

WHEREAS, Management Advisory Group International, Inc. has provided a proposal for a compensation and pay plan study; and,

WHEREAS, Management Advisory Group International, Inc. is able to engage in a cooperative procurement as permitted by Virginia State Code; and,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the Nelson County Board of Supervisors that the County Administrator be and hereby is authorized to retain via contract Management Advisory Group International, Inc. for the provision of professional management consulting and related services to Nelson County.

B. School Division Request (R2022-06)

Mr. Carter began by noting that Interim Superintendent Dr. Cox was also present if the Board had any questions or comments. Mr. Carter presented the appropriation request from the School Division for \$503,190 to help pay for the greenhouse renovation project and the associated architectural and engineering fees. Mr. Carter noted that the schools had indicated that the funding for the project should come from the FY20-21 unexpended Operational Funds and should be charge to the schools Facility budget line. He noted Gov. Northam's caboose bill which would provide additional funding to the schools. Mr. Carter suggested waiting on the outcomes of the General Assembly session before making a decision, as an appropriation may no longer be needed.

Mr. Reed noted that they did not know if the bill would survive, and asked if it were to pass, were there any designated areas the money needed to go towards. Mr. Carter understood that it would just be additional revenue.

Mr. Rutherford asked if Dr. Cox had anything to add. Dr. Cox stated that they did not really know what the final budget was going to be and they were waiting to see what happened. He explained to the Board that they had committed to several projects, noting supply chain shortages and staffing shortages. Dr. Cox reiterated that the Schools felt the greenhouse project was very important and confirmed their request to the Board.

School Board Chair Margaret Clair noted the School Division had entered into an agreement to complete the Greenhouse and other projects with the plan to use leftover funds from Capital Improvement Projects. Mr. Carter noted the General Assembly session would end on March 12th and the costs could be carried over until that time.

Mr. Barton felt that they had gotten far enough along in the project that the Board should allocate the funding. Mr. Reed was in agreement with Mr. Barton, adding that he wished to see their request honored.

Mr. Reed moved to adopt Resolution **R2022-06 Amendment of Fiscal Year 2021-2022 Budget** reflecting the request of \$503,190 Mr. Barton seconded the motion. There being no further discussion, Supervisors voted (5-0) by roll call vote to approve the motion and the following resolution was adopted:

**RESOLUTION R2022-06
NELSON COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
AMENDMENT OF FISCAL YEAR 2021-2022 BUDGET
NELSON COUNTY, VA
JANUARY 11, 2022**

I. Appropriation of Funds (General Fund)

Amount Revenue Account (-) Expenditure Account (+)

\$503,190.00 3-100-009999-0001 4-100-093100-9206

II. Appropriation of Funds (School Fund)

<u>Amount</u>	<u>Revenue Account (-)</u>	<u>Expenditure Account (+)</u>
\$503,190.00	3-205-004105-0001	4-205-066100-9305

VII. REPORTS, APPOINTMENTS, DIRECTIVES AND CORRESPONDENCE

A. Reports

1. County Administrator’s Report

Mr. Carter presented the following report:

- A. **Covid-19 (Coronavirus):** Current VDH data for Nelson County, as of January 7th was fifteen (15) new cases of the Covid-19 virus and a seven (7) day average of new daily cases of twenty-two (22). The period from December 30th to January 2nd was the highest incidence of Covid-19 cases with a daily average of thirty-two (32) and high of 49 cases on January 2nd. From January 3rd to January 7th the daily average was 14.6 cases with a high of 18 on January 4th.

Since the report for December 14th, the current cumulative case count in the County is 1,882 from the previously reported 1,575 cases, an increase of 302 cases. Hospitalizations as of 1-7 are 65 an increase of 4 from the reported 61 reported on 12-14. Deaths attributed to the virus are 24 and increase from 1 from the 23 reported on 12-14.

The CDC reports 156 cases of the virus as of 1-10, lists Virginia and Nelson County as areas of high transmission and recommends that everyone should wear a mask indoors in public settings, adding that mask requirements may vary from place to place. The County continues to have an honor system with respect to the wearing of masks.

Mr. Carter provided copies of current CDC guidance to the Board.

- B. **Redistricting:** Due to the now approved state redistricting map, the County’s redistricting map/plan, which was scheduled for final approval on 1-11, must now be redone to comport to the state’s district mapping. County staff, including the Registrar, are working to address this subject for subsequent presentation to the Board. It is understood the County’s final redistricting map/plan must be completed by a to be determined date in April 2022. It is County staff’s goal to have updated information on this subject to the Board by not later than the February 8th regular session with an objective of prior to that date.

Mr. Carter provided copies of the state mapping of the County’s House of Delegates, Senate and Congressional districts to the Board and noted they would follow up on the subject immediately following his report.

- C. **2022 General Reassessment of Real Estate:** Wampler-Eanes has completed its work on the 2022 General Reassessment of Real Estate, including its assessor hearings. The final report is pending receipt but anticipated by the not later than the week of 1-10. The enduring steps for the 2022 reassessment include: 1) Appointment of the members of the local Board of Equalization (anticipated for the Board’s 1-11 meeting) and, 2) Setting the real estate tax rate for 2022, which must be done pursuant to a public hearing to consider equalizing the 2022 assessment values to the County’s current real estate tax rate.
- D. **Broadband:** The additional \$1,380,000 in County funding was disbursed to CVSI/Firefly prior to 12-31-21. An agreement specifying the use and timetable for the funding was also completed prior to the disbursement of the County’s funding support.
- E. **2022 General Assembly Session:** The General Assembly’s 2022 session begins on January 12th and continues for the ensuing 60 days (on or about March 14th).
- F. **FY22-23 Budget:** Work is in process on the County’s FY22-23 Budget. It is anticipated that the draft budget will be presented to the Board in late February, 2022.
- G. **Continued Meeting to set CIP Priorities:** An outcome of the Board’s called meeting on 12-7-21

was the Board's consensus to conduct another meeting after the January 11, 2022 regular session for the purpose of establishing priorities for the CIP initiatives that were presented to the Board at the session on 12-7. Continuing the 1-11-22 regular session to the week of 1-17 or the first week of February is proposed. A possible question for the Board's regular session is the status of continuing the County's participation in the Agriculture Center Project with Amherst County, including, as a next step, completion of the Preliminary Architectural Feasibility Report (initial information on the PAFR was presented to the Board on 12-7-21).

Mr. Carter then put the redistricting maps on the projector screen for the Board to view. He began by showing the maps for the House of Delegates, Senate and Congressional districts. Ms. Rorrer explained that the new State maps would again split Nelson into two House of Delegates districts, the 53rd and 55th districts. She then showed the State Senate Map and the Congressional district map. Ms. Rorrer noted that the County would have to adhere to the House of Delegate boundaries when redistricting, she noted that the previously proposed change deviated from those boundaries.

Ms. Rorrer then provided new options to the Board for district boundary changes that would align with the state map. She presented an option to move a group in the Faber area between Route 29 and the railroad tracks from the Central District to the East District. She presented a second option to move citizens from the Glenthorne Loop area of Nellysford and up Route 151 to the southside of Adial Road from the Central District to the West District. Ms. Rorrer moved to option 3 which would move a portion of the citizens along the west side of Rockfish Valley Hwy from lower Beech Grove Road to Spruce Creek Lane from Central to West. She moved on to Option 4 which would move a portion of upper Beech Grove Road from about Mill Hill Lane up to Fortunes Ridge from Central District to West District. Lastly, Ms. Rorrer presented option 5 which would move a portion of Route 6 in the area of Edgehill Drive and Duncan Hollow from Central to the North District. Ms. Rorrer noted that she did not think options two (2) through four (4) would be popular. She indicated that she had discussed the options with the Registrar, Jackie Britt, and it seemed that the options that would be best for the citizens would be either option one (1) or five (5).

Mr. Harvey and Mr. Rutherford asked if Ms. Rorrer could provide a map of each option with the close up of the suggested change. Mr. Rutherford noted that he was also leaning towards options 1 and 5 as suggested by Ms. Rorrer. Ms. Rorrer confirmed that her office would work to provide maps with more defined lines on each option. She asked Ms. Britt if there was a deadline to have the redistricting completed. Ms. Britt indicated that it was looking like sometime in April but still to be determined.

Mr. Rutherford asked if another public hearing would be necessary as they would need to make changes to the map. Ms. Rorrer noted they were working with Mr. Payne to determine what was necessary but it seemed that a public hearing may not be needed, but notice would be required. Mr. Rutherford asked for updates as available on deadlines and requirements. Mr. Harvey felt that the State should have to figure out their lines once the localities had done their work. Ms. Rorrer did explain to the Board that a formal letter had been sent to the State as directed in December, with the original proposed map demonstrating the changes but the letter was ignored. Mr. Rutherford noted that when the original map was submitted by the County to the Supreme Court, the states maps were in draft form still. Mr. Carter thanked Ms. Rorrer and her staff, along with Ms. Britt, for their quick work to turn around the new map options.

2. Board Reports

Mr. Barton:

Mr. Barton reported that he attended a Piedmont Virginia Career Works meeting. He noted that according to statistics, there is no unemployment. He pointed out the issue that many places are having is finding workers and indicated that wages may be a factor.

Mr. Harvey:

Mr. Harvey had no report.

Mr. Parr:

Mr. Parr noted that the Emergency Services Council had not met for January.

Mr. Reed:

Mr. Reed reported that he had met with Mr. Youngblood and Mr. Brown from VDOT regarding the status of a transportation study along the 151 and any options for bicycle and pedestrian trails along corridor. Mr. Reed noted that he was informed about urban development areas and significant funds that would be available to counties through VTrans for those areas and transportation alternatives like hiking and biking. Mr. Reed noted that in order to qualify and apply for those funds, the County would need to amend the

Comprehensive Plan to identify the 151 corridor as an urban development area.

Mr. Reed also met with the new executive director at Wintergreen, Jay Gamble. He noted that they discussed the possibility of a park and ride location in the area that would help Wintergreen get their workforce up the mountain. Mr. Carter noted that Ms. Bishop was following up with Mr. Youngblood.

Mr. Rutherford:

Mr. Rutherford reported that the TJPDC had not met for the month. He noted that the Regional Housing Partnership had some events coming up. Additionally, Mr. Rutherford reminded the Board that he had stepped down from the Nelson County Service Authority Board and there was a need to appoint someone in his place.

B. Appointments

Ms. Spivey reviewed the following table:

(1) New Vacancies/Expiring Seats & New Applicants :					
Board/Commission	Term Expiring	Term & Limit Y/N	Incumbent	Re-appointment	Applicant (Order of Pref.)
Board of Equalization		1 year/No term limit			Carlton Ballowe Thomas Nelson, Jr. James Bibb Evan Phillips Courtney Cook
Nelson County Service Authority Board	6/30/2022	4 Years/No Term Limit	Jesse Rutherford	N - resigning	Robert McSwain
(2) Existing Vacancies:					
Board/Commission	Terms Expired				
JABA Council on Aging	12/31/2021	2 years/ No term limit	Robert Alpers	N	

Nelson County Service Authority Board

Mr. Reed moved to appoint Robert McSwain to fill the vacancy on the Service Authority Board. Mr. Barton seconded the motion. There being no further discussion, Supervisors voted unanimously (5-0) by roll call vote to approve the motion.

JABA

Ms. Spivey reported that Mr. Alpers’ term had just expired and noted that he was unable to serve another term.

Board of Equalization

Mr. Rutherford noted that staff needed to have the Board of Equalization appointed. He pointed out that they had a total of five applicants. Mr. Carter informed the Board that they needed a minimum of three members. Mr. Rutherford felt that having more than the minimum would be best. Ms. Spivey read the names of the applicants – Carlton Ballowe, Thomas Nelson, Jr., James Bibb, Evan Phillips and Courtney Cook. Ms. Spivey noted that Mr. Ballowe and Mr. Nelson had both served on the prior Board of Equalization. Ms. Spivey review the qualifications required, noting that Mr. Ballowe had real estate experience and Mr. Bibb had property management experience and construction experience. Ms. Spivey noted that Ms. Cook had a background in finance. The Board reviewed the districts that each applicant was located in. Mr. Barton asked for clarification on the role of the Board of Equalization. Mr. Harvey explained that they were to ensure that property assessments were equal. Mr. Carter stated that property owners had to appeal their assessments and the Board would then review the appeals to determine if they were assessed equally. He noted that the Board of Equalization could only change the value on the appeals, not the entire assessment. Mr. Harvey asked to think on the appointments and come back to the subject later in the meeting.

C. Correspondence

The Board had no correspondence.

Mr. Rutherford returned to Mr. Harvey to discuss the Board of Equalization appointments. Mr. Harvey noted that they did not have applicants from each district. Mr. Carter and Mr. Rutherford both noted they had potential applicants who had since changed their minds. Mr. Rutherford gave Mr. Harvey more time

to consider the applicants.

D. Directives

Mr. Reed reminded the Board that they had planned to hold a joint meeting with the School Board before the end of the year. Mr. Reed asked to get plans in motion to meet. Mr. Carter noted that County staff could coordinate with the School Board staff for scheduling. Mr. Reed felt that the County may have more flexibility than the Schools. Mr. Rutherford asked when they should try to meet. Mr. Carter recommended they meet before they finish work on the budget. Mr. Rutherford suggested looking at a February joint meeting and asked County staff to coordinate.

Board of Equalization

Mr. Rutherford returned to Mr. Harvey regarding the appointments. Mr. Harvey indicated he was ready to proceed with the appointments. Mr. Parr made a motion to approve the five applicants – Carlton Ballowe, Thomas Nelson, James Bibb, Evan Phillips and Courtney Cook for appointment to the Board of Equalization. Mr. Reed seconded the motion. There being no further discussion, Supervisors voted unanimously (5-0) by roll call vote to approve the motion.

Mr. Parr asked if Maintenance staff could clear the parking lot debris at the Piney River trail parking area.

The Board had no other directives.

Introduced: Closed Session

Mr. Reed moved that the Nelson County Board of Supervisors convene in closed session to discuss the following as permitted by Virginia Code §2.2-3711 (A) (3): Discussion or consideration of the acquisition of real property for a public purpose, where discussion in an open meeting would adversely affect the bargaining position or negotiating strategy of the public body; and, (A) (3): Discussion or consideration of the investment of public funds where competition or bargaining is involved, where if made public initially, the financial interest of the governmental unit would be adversely affected. Mr. Parr seconded the motion. There being no further discussion, Supervisors voted (4-0) by roll call vote to approve the motion, with Mr. Harvey abstaining.

Mr. Reed moved to reconvene in public session and, that the Nelson County Board of Supervisors certify that, in the closed session just concluded, nothing was discussed except the matter or matters specifically identified in the motion to convene in closed session and lawfully permitted to be discussed under the provisions of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act cited in that motion. Mr. Parr seconded the motion. There being no further discussion, Supervisors voted unanimously (5-0) to approve the motion.

VIII. OTHER BUSINESS (AS PRESENTED)

Mr. Carter noted the Veritas rezoning and special use permit on the evening agenda. He indicated that they were working through some issues. Mr. Reed asked if the problems should be addressed before the rezoning. Mr. Carter indicated that they needed to change the zoning in order to properly address and correct the issues.

The Board had no other business to discuss.

IX. ADJOURN AND CONTINUE - EVENING SESSION AT 7PM

At 4:54 PM, Mr. Harvey moved to adjourn and reconvene at 7:00 PM and Mr. Parr seconded the motion. There being no further discussion, Supervisors voted unanimously (5-0) by roll call vote to approve the motion and the meeting adjourned.

**EVENING SESSION
7:00 P.M. – NELSON COUNTY COURTHOUSE**

I. CALL TO ORDER

Mr. Rutherford called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m., with five (5) Supervisors present to establish a quorum.

II. PUBLIC COMMENTS

There were no persons wishing to be recognized for public comments. Mr. Rutherford welcomed Ms. Emma Martin, the new reporter for the Nelson County Times, and introduced her to the Board.

III. PUBLIC HEARINGS

A. Rezoning #477 / Special Use Permit #478 – R-1 to A-1 - Office

Consideration of a Rezoning application requesting County approval to rezone property from R-1 Residential to A-1 Agricultural with a concurrent Special Use Permit for an Office. The subject property is located at Tax Map Parcel #4-A-58C at 9605 Critzer Shop Rd in Afton. The subject property is .919 acres and owned by Azul Real Estate LLC.

Ms. Bishop provided the following report:

BACKGROUND: This is a request to rezone property from R-1 Residential to A-1 Agriculture with a concurrent Special Use Permit for an office, to allow the existing dental clinic to construct an addition to the existing structure.

Public Hearings Scheduled: P/C – December 15 / Board – January 11

Location / Election District: 9605 Critzer Shop Road (Afton) / North Election District

Tax Map Number / Total acreage: 4-A-58C / 0.92 acres +/- total

Applicant/Owner Contact Information: Azul Real Estate LLC (Carla Chavez-Mayorga), 9605 Critzer Shop Road, Afton, VA / (305) 510-0828 / admin@azulfamilydentistry.com

Comments: The subject parcel is zoned R-1 Residential. The property is adjoined by both R-1 Residential and A-1 Agricultural zoning. This area is mixed use in nature, with a combination of agricultural, residential, and commercial uses. Blue Mountain Brewery adjoins the parcel on the north.

DISCUSSION:

Land Use / Floodplain: This structure has been utilized as a dental office since the late 1970s. Because it is located within the R-1 district where office uses are not permitted, this is considered a nonconforming use. With the adoption of the revised Nonconforming Ordinance in March 2021, it became prohibited to expand a nonconforming use. The applicant is proposing an addition to the structure which will be utilized as additional office space for the dental clinic. Staff recommended the proposed rezoning to A-1 with a concurrent Special Use Permit due to the existing zoning and uses in the vicinity.

Access and Parking: This property is accessed by an existing customer entrance to the north on Critzer Shop Road, and an access easement to the south for staff. Any comments from VDOT will be provided at the meeting.

Ms. Bishop noted that per VDOT, the existing access on Critzer Shop meets the minimum requirements, but the southern entrance will require some upgrades, which Ms. Bishop noted were included in the updated site plan. She also noted the out buildings were included in the updated site plan as requested by the Planning Commission.

Utilities: The property is served by existing utilities. Any comments from the Health Department will be provided at the meeting.

Ms. Bishop noted that the Health Department did not have any comments.

Proffers: There are no proffers submitted with this application.

RECOMMENDATION: The approval of requests should be based on one or more of the following factors:

1. Good Zoning Practice
2. Public Necessity
3. General Welfare
4. Convenience

At their meeting on December 15, the Planning Commission voted (6-0) to recommend approval of the request to rezone property at 9605 Critzer Shop Road from R-1 to A-1,

with a concurrent Special Use Permit for an office.

Ms. Bishop noted she was unable to attend the Planning Commission meeting and asked if Mr. Reed had any additional information that he would like to add. Mr. Reed reiterated that the Planning Commission had recommended approval with the submission of an updated site plan, which he noted, had been provided.

The applicant was present and did not wish to come forward.

Mr. Rutherford opened the public hearing.

There were no persons wishing to be recognized, and the public hearing was closed.

Mr. Harvey moved to approve **Rezoning #477** R-1 Residential to A-1 Agricultural with **Special Use Permit #478** and Mr. Reed seconded the motion. There being no further discussion, Supervisors voted unanimously (5-0) by roll call vote to approve the motion.

B. Rezoning #479 / Special Use Permit #480 – R-1/A-1 to A-1 - Restaurant

Consideration of a Rezoning application requesting County approval to rezone property from R-1 Residential to A-1 Agricultural with a concurrent Special Use Permit for a Restaurant. The subject property is located at Tax Map Parcel #3-A-154B at 72 Saddleback Farm in Afton. The subject property is 28.1 acres and owned by Hodson Living Trust.

Ms. Bishop presented the following report:

BACKGROUND: This is a request to rezone property from R-1 Residential to A-1 Agriculture with a concurrent Special Use Permit for a restaurant, to align the zoning with the existing agricultural use, and to allow the food service facility at the Farmhouse bed and breakfast to be accessible to the public as a restaurant.

Public Hearings Scheduled: P/C – December 15 / Board – January 11

Location / Election District: 72 Saddleback Farm (Afton) / North Election District

Tax Map Number / Total acreage: 3-A-154B / 28.1 acres +/- total

Applicant/Owner Contact Information: Hodson Living Trust (Patricia and Andrew Hodson), 151 Veritas Lane, Afton, VA / (434) 531-7755 / patricia@veritaswines.com

Comments: The subject parcel is split-zoned zoned R-1 Residential and A-1 Agricultural. The majority of the parcel is A-1, with a strip of R-1 zoning along Afton Mountain Road. The property is adjoined by both R-1 Residential and A-1 Agricultural zoning. This area is mixed use in nature, with a combination of agricultural, residential, and commercial uses along Route 151.

DISCUSSION:

Land Use / Floodplain: The Farmhouse (60 Saddleback) currently operates as a bed and breakfast, a by-right use. The applicants have recently upgraded the kitchen, and are requesting to open the existing restaurant to the general public. The property that is located within the R-1 zoning is currently in agricultural use (vineyard). The existing bed and breakfast would remain a by-right permitted use.

Access and Parking: This property is accessed by an existing entrance from Afton Mountain Road, to Saddleback Trail, to Saddleback Farm. Any comments from VDOT will be provided at the meeting.

Ms. Bishop noted at the meeting that VDOT did not have any comments, the existing entrance was adequate.

Utilities: The property is served by existing utilities. Any comments from the Health Department will be provided at the meeting.

Ms. Bishop noted during the public hearing that the applicant was currently working through some permitting issues with Building Inspections and the Health Department.

Proffers: There are no proffers submitted with this application.

RECOMMENDATION: The approval of requests should be based on one or more of the following factors:

1. Good Zoning Practice
2. Public Necessity
3. General Welfare
4. Convenience

At their meeting on December 15, the Planning Commission voted (6-0) to recommend approval of this request to rezone property at 72 Saddleback Farm from R-1 to A-1, with a concurrent Special Use Permit for a restaurant.

Mr. Harvey asked about the issue with the Building Department. Ms. Bishop noted that the applicant was working through those issues and once the use portion is approved, they can get their permitting finalized with Building Inspections. Ms. Bishop noted they were meeting later that week to resolve those issues. Mr. Harvey asked if Planning Commission had any conditions with their recommendation. Ms. Bishop noted that the Planning Commission did not have any conditions.

The applicant was present and did not wish to come forward.

Mr. Rutherford opened the public hearing.

There were no persons wishing to be recognized, and the public hearing was closed.

Mr. Harvey moved to approve **Rezoning #479** R-1/A-1 to A-1 Restaurant with **Special Use Permit #480** and Mr. Parr seconded the motion. There being no further discussion, Supervisors voted unanimously (5-0) by roll call vote to approve the motion.

IV. OTHER BUSINESS (AS PRESENTED)

Mr. Rutherford asked about communications received from Curtis Sheets regarding the addition of another 24/7 EMS crew. Mr. Carter said staff was still in the process of evaluating whether or not there was a need. Mr. Carter did not think he was copied on the previously mentioned communication to the Board but noted that the paid crews had been short staffed due to impacts from covid. Mr. Carter reported that County staff was working to gather information on call volumes, who was answering the calls, where calls were coming from and if there was enough need to justify adding another two-person crew. He noted that an additional crew was a significant expense. Mr. Carter also indicated that Mr. Sheets had other requests that staff was in the process of reviewing and planning budget proposals for when the next budget was presented in February.

Mr. Rutherford asked Mr. Carter to relay the information to Mr. Sheets. Mr. Rutherford suggested it may help to have Mr. Sheets attend the meeting in February. Mr. Parr noted last EMS Council meeting that all departments agreed that another paid crew was needed. Mr. Parr related salary concerns expressed by Mr. Sheets in losing staff to other agencies like Augusta. Mr. Carter confirmed the salary concerns that had previously been related by Mr. Sheets. Mr. Carter noted that one difference in schedules may be that Nelson crews are on 24 hours and off 48 hours, while other agencies are on for 24 hours and then off for 72 hours. Mr. Carter spoke regarding salary information provided by Wintergreen (WPOA) and noted that at the front end of the pay scale, WPOA paid more, but at the end of the pay scale they were lower. Mr. Carter noted that the majority of calls were being answered by WPOA crews.

Mr. Carter suggested having Mr. Sheets speak to the board in a budget session, rather than a regular meeting. Mr. Parr asked if the staffing issue was related to transport request issue mentioned in in the afternoon session during Public Comment. Mr. Carter noted that transports were related to the assessment criteria of the patient. Mr. Harvey stated that the location of the call referenced during the afternoon session was hardly any difference in transport time by taking the patient to the requested hospital.

Additionally, Mr. Carter mentioned that the billing for EMS ambulance services would come before the Board regarding rate increases. Mr. Carter pointed out that the rates have not been increased for about nine years and the billing company had suggested the County increase the Medicare rates.

V. ADJOURNMENT (CONTINUE TO JANUARY 31st, 2022 AT 1PM IN FORMER BOARD OF SUPERVISORS ROOM FOR A CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLANNING WORK SESSION)

January 11, 2022

Mr. Rutherford indicated that the Board needed to adjourn and continue the meeting for a capital improvement planning work session. Mr. Harvey made a motion to adjourn and continue to January 31st at 1 p.m. Mr. Parr seconded the motion. There being no objection, the meeting adjourned.