

June 27, 2013

Virginia:

AT A REGULAR MEETING of the Nelson County Board of Supervisors at 7:00 p.m. in the Board of Supervisors Room located on the second floor of the Nelson County Courthouse.

Present: Thomas D. Harvey, North District Supervisor
Constance Brennan, Central District Supervisor - Vice Chair
Thomas H. Bruguire, Jr. West District Supervisor- Chair
Larry D. Saunders, South District Supervisor
Allen M. Hale, East District Supervisor
Stephen A. Carter, County Administrator
Candice W. McGarry, Administrative Assistant/Deputy Clerk
Debra K. McCann, Director of Finance and Human Resources

Absent: None

I. Call to Order

Mr. Bruguire called the meeting to order at 7: 05 PM with all Supervisors present to establish a quorum.

- A. Moment of Silence
- B. Pledge of Allegiance – Mr. Saunders led the Pledge of Allegiance

II. Public Comments

There were no persons wishing to be recognized for public comments.

III. Consent Agenda

Mr. Hale moved to approve the Consent Agenda as presented and Ms. Brennan seconded the motion. There being no further discussion, Supervisors voted unanimously (5-0) by roll call vote to approve the motion and the following resolutions were adopted:

- A. Resolution - **R2013- 42** Minutes for Approval

**RESOLUTION R2013-42
NELSON COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES
(May 23, 2013)**

RESOLVED, by the Nelson County Board of Supervisors that the minutes of said Board's meeting conducted on **May 23, 2013** be and hereby are approved and authorized for entry into the official record of the Board of Supervisors meetings.

June 27, 2013

B. Resolution – **R2013-43** FY13 Budget Amendment

RESOLUTION R2013-43
NELSON COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
AMENDMENT OF FISCAL YEAR 2012-2013 BUDGET
NELSON COUNTY, VA
June 27, 2013

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Nelson County that the Fiscal Year 2012-2013 Budget be hereby amended as follows:

I. Transfer of Funds (General Fund)

<u>Amount</u>	<u>Credit Account (-)</u>	<u>Debit Account (+)</u>
\$ 6,500.00	4-100-999000-9905	4-100-092010-9201

C. Resolution – **R2013-44** Reallocation of VDOT Maintenance Funds to Preventative Bridge Maintenance Project Number BRDG-963-101, B618

RESOLUTION R2013-44
NELSON COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
REALLOCATION OF VDOT MAINTENANCE FUNDS
PREVENTATIVE BRIDGE MAINTENANCE
PROJECT NUMBER BRDG-963-101, B618

WHEREAS, this Board requests \$134,338 of Secondary Bridge allocations be used for the concrete overlay of the Route 666 bridge over Piney River (Project Number BRDG-963-101, B618),

WHEREAS, this action will not disrupt existing funding commitments to construction underway or on the Virginia Department of Transportation's 24 Month Advertisement Schedule,

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that since this action appears to be in the best interests of the citizens residing in Nelson County, the use of Secondary allocations for this Preventive Bridge Maintenance Project is hereby approved.

D. Resolution – **R2013-45** Reallocation of VDOT Secondary Road Funds to Primary Road Project Number HSIP-062-S01

June 27, 2013

**RESOLUTION R2013-45
NELSON COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
REALLOCATION OF VDOT SECONDARY ROAD FUNDS TO
PRIMARY ROAD PROJECT NUMBER HSIP-062-S01**

WHEREAS, Section 33.1-23.4 of the 1950 Code of Virginia, as amended, provides for the use of regular Secondary allocations on a Primary System Project,

WHEREAS, this Board requests the improvement of the intersection on Route 6/151 at Route 635, From: 0.200 Mile South of Route 635 West, To: 0.200 Mile North of Route 635 West (Project Number HSIP-062-S01), at a cost of \$1,500,000,

WHEREAS, this action will not disrupt existing funding commitments to construction underway or on the Virginia Department of Transportation's 24 Month Advertisement Schedule,

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that since this action appears to be in the best interests of the citizens residing in Nelson County, the use of Secondary allocations for this Primary Project is hereby approved.

- E. Resolution – **R2013-46** Reallocation of VDOT Secondary Road Funds to Primary Road Project Number HSIP-062-S02

**RESOLUTION R2013-46
NELSON COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
REALLOCATION OF VDOT SECONDARY ROAD FUNDS TO
PRIMARY ROAD PROJECT NUMBER HSIP-062-S02**

WHEREAS, Section 33.1-23.4 of the 1950 Code of Virginia, as amended, provides for the use of regular Secondary allocations on a Primary System Project,

WHEREAS, this Board requests the improvement of the intersection on Route 6/151 at Route 638, From: 0.200 Mile South of Route 638, To: 0.200 Mile North of Route 638 (Project Number HSIP-062-S02), at a cost of \$1,500,000,

WHEREAS, this action will not disrupt existing funding commitments to construction underway or on the Virginia Department of Transportation's 24 Month Advertisement Schedule,

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that since this action appears to be in the best interests of the citizens residing in Nelson County, the use of Secondary allocations for this Primary Project is hereby approved.

IV. New/Unfinished Business

A. Citizen Claim for Payment, May 17, 2013 Flooding Cleanup

Mr. Carter reported that he had taken hand delivery of a bill for \$200 for clean-up of Pat Harker's home which is adjacent to the Courthouse at 434 Court Street in Lovington.

Mr. Carter noted that he did not think that the County was responsible for the claim; however she has asserted that the excessive water impacting her property is the County's fault. He added that he would not want these claims to continue and he recommended denial of payment of the claim.

Mr. Saunders noted that he agreed with denying the claim and agreed with the reasons why. He emphasized that no one had control over Mother Nature. Mr. Harvey noted that \$200 had already been spent in staff time on the issue and he did not want to commit the County to anything else. He added that he thought that the County needed to continue to work with the property owner to help solve the problem and that the additional things that were being done should help.

Mr. Carter advised that the v-ditch across from the property had been reworked per VDOT's requirements and that the VDOT inspector had noted that he had given verbal approval. He added that the Contractor had to close out the Land Use Permit associated with the project. Mr. Carter then advised that VDOT would then pave that section of the road and would look closely at the drop inlet that was close to her house and also at a concrete box and culvert near the road. Mr. Carter noted that the County had paid to flush this out already and a huge piece of wood that was stuck in the pipe had been removed. He added that the drainage ditch needed to be reworked and was impeding the outlet on that side of the pipe.

Mr. Hale confirmed that Mr. Carter had apprised Ms. Harker of all of this and that both he and Mr. Carter have said that they were working to solve this problem.

Mr. Harvey suggested that the County find out where the VDOT paving would stop and then see if they could go up to where the road turned into the County property.

Mr. Saunders suggested that Mr. Carter spell out the reasons for denying the claim in a letter to Ms. Harker and note that none of these reasons came back to the County being responsible.

Mr. Harvey suggested that no Board action on the claim equated to a denial and the Board agreed by consensus to deny the claim by taking no action.

B. NC Electoral Board Request to Move the Central Absentee Voting Precinct (R2013-47) Authorization for Public Hearing)

June 27, 2013

Ms. Britt addressed the Board and noted that the Central Absentee Precinct (CAP) was currently located in the Jury Room of the Circuit Court. She noted that she and the Circuit Court Clerk realized after last primary that they were going to have a conflict with the November election and a jury trial in November. She added that going forward it would be better to move it permanently to avoid this happening in the future. She then added that she had spoken to the staff of the Nelson Library and they have agreed that they could use the community meeting room there to serve as the Central Absentee Precinct.

Ms. Britt then explained that they had to staff this precinct the same as any other precinct and that this was where they processed all of the absentee ballots that her office had received and where these votes were counted after the polls closed. She noted that the in person absentee ballots were received in her office forty-five (45) days prior to the election. She reiterated that the CAP was a processing center and was not allowed to be in the General Registrar's office.

Mr. Harvey questioned why it could not be done at the Lovingson Fire House and Ms. Britt noted that it would need to be in a separate room and the only other room there was the kitchen.

Ms. Britt then noted that they were awaiting new guidance from the State Board of Elections because Section 4 of the Voting Rights Act was out and Counties were no longer subject to the preclearance provisions contained therein. She noted that she thought that they should proceed with holding the public hearing in the meantime.

Mr. Carter concurred and noted that Mr. Payne was uncertain and had not yet read up on it; however he had confirmed that Section 4 under the Voting Rights Act had been thrown out and that states previously under this did not have to get preclearance anymore until new standards were set. He added that Mr. Payne had also said to proceed as usual.

Mr. Saunders confirmed with Ms. Britt that the move would be permanent and Ms. Britt noted that the Library had all of the necessary attributes and was the best location.

Mr. Bruguere suggested that the Board not meet later in July and that the public hearing be held on August 13th. Ms. McGarry noted that doing so would still give Ms. Britt the 60 days prior to an election needed and that they could also do expedited clearance if necessary.

Mr. Hale then moved to approve resolution **R2013-47** Authorization for Public Hearing on an Ordinance to Amend the Code of Nelson County, Virginia Chapter 2 (Administration), Article I (In General), Section 2-28 (Precincts and Polling Places) with the public hearing to be held on August 13, 2013.

Ms. Brennan seconded the motion and there being no further discussion, Supervisors voted unanimously (5-0) by roll call vote to approve the motion and the following resolution was adopted:

June 27, 2013

RESOLUTION-R2013-47
NELSON COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
AUTHORIZATION FOR PUBLIC HEARING ON AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND
THE CODE OF NELSON COUNTY, VIRGINIA CHAPTER 2
(ADMINISTRATION), ARTICLE I (IN GENERAL), SECTION 2-28
(PRECINCTS AND POLLING PLACES)

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 24.2-712 of the Code of Virginia, 1950 as amended, the Nelson County Board of Supervisors wishes to accommodate the Nelson County Electoral Board's request to move the Central Absentee Precinct from the Nelson County Courthouse, Jury Room so as not to conflict with the increasing number of jury trials held by the Nelson County Circuit Court;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, by the Nelson County Board of Supervisors that the request to move the Central Absentee Precinct from its current location at the Nelson County Courthouse, Jury Room to the Nelson County Memorial Library, Lovingston VA is hereby approved and;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that pursuant to §15.2-1427, §24.2-712, and §24.2-306, the County Administrator is hereby authorized to advertise a public hearing to be held on August 13, 2013 at 7:00 p.m. in the Board of Supervisors Room in the Courthouse in Lovingston, Virginia to receive public input on an Ordinance proposed for passage to amend the Code of Nelson County, Virginia, Chapter 2, Article I, Section 2-28 to establish the Central Absentee Precinct at the Nelson Memorial Library, Lovingston Virginia 22949.

C. Revised Ordinance O2013-03 Class IV Personal Wireless Services
(O2013-03)

This agenda item was considered prior to item A.

Mr. Payne noted that some valid suggestions for enhancing the proposed amendment had come out of the public hearing and that the changes were made in a range of definitions as follows:

1. When replacing a wooden monopole with a metal one, the height of the poles must be the same. See definitions vii.
2. Under Design Standards, Section 20-18-2, some comment had been received from others as to whether it was talking about cabinets or shelters and this was clarified here. He added that there was a distinction made between the two, based on size. He noted a disjunctive was added so that either of these instances would be covered.
3. Under Design Standards, Section 20-18-2 Class IV monopoles – a question was raised as to whether these in Paragraph 5 applied only to a Class IV monopole. He noted that it was intended to be that way so the introductory sentence was changed so that it

June 27, 2013

established the construction standard for the 40 ft pole approved administratively. He noted that this made the distinction clear and added a maximum base diameter.

Ms. Brennan asked about the questions regarding the allowance of a higher tower. Mr. Payne noted that the Tower Company preferred to be able to increase the height of a tower where there was the replacement of a monopole. He noted that they had not indicated how much of a height increase they wanted and it was decided that the Board could deal with this when considering the Ordinance revision for the other classes of towers.

Mr. Hale then noted that he believed that the revisions accomplished what the Board set out to do and he moved to approve Ordinance **O2013-03** Amendment to the Code of Nelson County, Virginia, Appendix A-Zoning Chapter 20, Communication Towers, To Include Section 20-18 Class IV Personal Wireless Services.

Mr. Harvey seconded the motion and there being no further discussion, Supervisors voted unanimously (5-0) by roll call vote to approve the motion and the following Ordinance was adopted:

ORDINANCE O2013-03
NELSON COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
AMENDMENT TO THE CODE OF NELSON COUNTY, VIRGINIA
APPENDIX A-ZONING CHAPTER 20, COMMUNICATION TOWERS,
TO INCLUDE SECTION 20-18 CLASS IV PERSONAL WIRELESS SERVICES

BE IT HEREBY ORDAINED, that Pursuant to §15.2-1427 of the Code of Virginia 1950 as amended, the Nelson County Board of Supervisors does hereby amend the Code of Nelson County, Virginia, Appendix A – Zoning, Chapter 20, Communication Towers as follows:

20-18 Class IV Personal Wireless Services.

Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in the other sections of this communication tower ordinance, the provisions of this subsection 20-18 shall govern with respect to the telecommunications facilities and services addressed herein.

20-18-1 Definitions.

Antenna array: An orderly arrangement of antennas mounted at the same height on a tower or other structure and intended to transmit a signal providing coverage over a specific area for a single provider of personal wireless services.

Class IV Personal Wireless Service Facility (“Class IV Facility”): A personal wireless service facility that:

- (i) is located within an existing structure but which may include a self-contained ground equipment shelter not exceeding one hundred fifty (150) square feet that is not within the building, or, a whip antenna that satisfies the requirements of Section 20-18-2; or
- (ii) consists of one or more antennas, other than a microwave dish, attached to an existing structure and are flush mounted to the structure, together with associated personal wireless service equipment; or
- (iii) consists of a single attachment pole attached to an existing structure the total height of which, together with a grounding rod, shall not exceed twenty (20) feet above the top of the structure. An attachment pole may be guyed to increase its stability; or
- (iv) is located within or camouflaged by an addition to an existing structure determined by the Planning and Zoning Director to be in character with the structure and the surrounding district; or
- (v) is a co-location that does not result in a substantial increase in the size of an existing Communication Tower; or
- (vi) is the replacement of equipment that does not result in a substantial increase in the size of an existing Communication Tower; or
- (vii) is the replacement of a wooden monopole with a metal monopole of the same height that does not exceed a maximum base diameter of thirty (30) inches and a maximum diameter at the top of eighteen (18) inches; or
- (viii) is the placement of a freestanding monopole forty (40) feet or less in height in the following zoning districts: Conservation C-1, Agricultural A-1, Service Enterprise SE-1, Business B-1, Business B-2, Limited Industrial M-1, and Industrial M-2.

Existing structure: For the purposes of this subsection 20-18, a lawfully constructed or established structure, but excluding (i) existing Communication Towers approved under this ordinance or by special use permit before the effective date of this subsection and (ii) flagpoles.

Personal wireless services: Commercial mobile services, unlicensed wireless services, common wireless exchange access services, and for the purposes of this chapter, unlicensed wireless broadband internet access.

Substantial increase in the size of an existing Communication Tower:

- (i) The mounting of the proposed antenna on the tower would increase the existing height of the tower by more than 10%, or by the height of one additional antenna array with separation from the nearest existing antenna not to exceed twenty feet, whichever is greater, except that the mounting of the proposed antenna may exceed the size limits set forth in this paragraph if necessary to avoid interference with existing antennas; or
- (ii) The mounting of the proposed antenna would involve the installation of more than the standard number of new equipment cabinets for the technology involved, not to exceed four, or more than one new equipment shelter; or

(iii) The mounting of the proposed antenna would involve adding an appurtenance to the body

of the tower that would protrude from the edge of the tower more than twenty feet, or more than the width of the tower structure at the level of the appurtenance, whichever is greater, except that the mounting of the proposed antenna may exceed the size limits set forth in this paragraph if necessary to shelter the antenna from inclement weather or to connect the antenna to the tower via cable; or

(iv) The mounting of the proposed antenna would involve excavation outside the current tower site, defined as the current boundaries of the leased or owned property surrounding the tower and any access or utility easements currently related to the site.

Unlicensed Wireless Service: The offering of telecommunication services using duly authorized devices which do not require individual licenses from the Federal Communications Commission, but does not mean the provision of direct-to-home satellite services. This service is sometimes referred to “License-Exempt”. Users of the license-exempt bands do not have exclusive use of the spectrum and are subject to interference.

20-18-2 Design Standards.

1. *General Design.* The Class IV Facility shall be designed, installed, and maintained as follows: (i) guy wires shall not be permitted except with attachment poles; (ii) outdoor lighting for the Facility shall be permitted only during maintenance periods; (iii) any cabinet or shelter not located within the existing structure shall be screened from all lot lines either by terrain, existing structures, existing vegetation, or by added vegetation approved by the Planning and Zoning Director; (iv) in connection with an existing structure or monopole, a grounding rod, whose height shall not exceed two feet and whose width shall not exceed one inch in diameter at the base and tapering to a point, may be installed at the top of the structure and (v) a whip antenna less than six (6) inches in diameter may exceed the height of the existing structure or monopole.

2. *Antennas and associated equipment, existing structure exterior.* Equipment shall be attached to the exterior of an existing structure only as follows: (i) the total number of arrays of antennas attached to the existing structure shall not exceed three (3), and each antenna proposed to be attached under the pending application shall not exceed the size shown on the application, which size shall not exceed one thousand one hundred fifty two (1152) square inches; (ii) no antenna shall project from the structure beyond the minimum required by the mounting equipment, and in no case shall any point on the face of an antenna project more than twelve (12) inches from the existing structure; and (iii) each antenna and associated equipment shall be a color that matches the existing structure. For purposes of this section, all types of antennas and dishes regardless of their use shall be counted toward the limit of three arrays. These standards shall not apply to antennas and associated equipment that are located entirely within an existing structure.

3. *Antennas and associated equipment, attachment pole.* An attachment pole (i) shall not exceed three inches in diameter; (ii) shall be grayish-brown in color unless a different

color is either approved or required by the Planning and Zoning Director; (iii) the antennas, supporting brackets, and all other equipment attached to the attachment pole shall be a color that closely matches that of the attachment pole; and (iv) the total number of antennas shall not exceed three (3), and each antenna proposed to be attached under the pending application shall not exceed the size shown on the application, which size shall not exceed one thousand one hundred fifty two (1152) square inches.

4. *Ground equipment shelter, fencing.* Any cabinet or shelter not located within an existing building shall be fenced only with the approval of the agent upon finding that the fence: (i) would protect the facility from trespass in areas of high volumes of vehicular or pedestrian traffic or, in the rural areas, to protect the facility from livestock or wildlife and (ii) would not be detrimental to the character of the area.

5. *Class IV Monopole.* A freestanding monopole, as defined in subsection viii of the Class IV Facility definition, (i) shall be constructed of either wood, metal, or concrete; (ii) shall not exceed a maximum base diameter of thirty (30) inches and a maximum diameter at the top of eighteen (18) inches; (iii) shall be grayish-brown in color unless a different color is either approved or required by the Planning and Zoning Director; (iv) the antennas, supporting brackets, and all other equipment attached to the monopole shall be a color that closely matches that of the monopole; (v) the total number of arrays of antennas attached to the monopole shall not exceed three (3) and each antenna proposed to be attached under the pending application shall not exceed the size shown on the application, which size shall not exceed one thousand one hundred fifty two (1152) square inches. For purposes of this section, all types of antennas and dishes, regardless of their use, shall be counted toward the limit of three arrays.

20-18-3 Application and Approval Procedure.

A. No application is required for Class IV Facilities listed in subsections (i) and (ii) of the definition.

B. Class IV Facilities listed in subsections (iii) and (iv) of the definition require application to the Planning and Zoning Director containing the following information:

1. A completed application form, signed by the parcel owner, the parcel owner's agent or the contract purchaser, and the proposed facility's owner. If the owner's agent signs the application, he shall also submit written evidence of the existence and scope of the agency. If the contract purchaser signs the application, he shall also submit the owner's written consent to the application.

2. If antennas are proposed to be added to an existing structure, all existing antennas and other equipment on the structure, as well as all ground equipment, shall be identified by owner, type and size.

3. The design of the facility, including the specific type of support structure and the design, type, location, size, height and configuration of all existing and

proposed antennas and other equipment. The method(s) by which the antennas will be attached to the mounting structure shall be depicted.

4. Identification of each paint color on the facility, by manufacturer color name and color number. A paint chip or sample may be requested for each color.

C. Class IV Facilities listed in subsections (v) through (viii) of the definition require application to the Planning and Zoning Director containing the following information:

1. The information required in the preceding subsection B.

2. A scaled plan depicting fall area: The minimum distance from the tower's base to the property line shall be: (i) wood poles-100% of tower height; (ii) metal monopole- 110% of tower height; and (iii) lattice tower-25% of tower height. The fall area for a metal monopole and lattice tower may be modified by the Planning and Zoning Director upon written certification by a licensed professional engineer that the tower is designed with the number of proposed and future antennas to collapse within the boundary lines of the subject property.

3. All existing and proposed setbacks, parking, fencing, and landscaping.

4. The requirements in either or both of subsections 2 and 3 above may be waived by the Planning and Zoning Director if an appropriate approved plan is already on file with the County.

20-18-4 Fee Schedule for certain Class IV Facilities.

(a) Class IV Facilities listed in subsections (iii) and (iv) of the definition, each application: Twenty Dollars (\$20.00).

(b) Class IV Facilities listed in subsections (v) through (viii) of the definition, each application: One Hundred Dollars (\$100.00).

20-18-5 Compliance.

Any Class IV Facility regulated by this Section 20-18, and not otherwise in compliance with the other provisions of the tower ordinance, shall be registered and brought into compliance with this Section 20-18 within ninety (90) days of enactment.

20-18-6 Denial of application, appeal.

If the Planning and Zoning Director should deny an application, the denial shall be in writing, shall identify the requirements which were not satisfied and shall inform the applicant what must be done to satisfy each requirement. The applicant may appeal a denial to the Board of Supervisors. An appeal shall be in writing and be filed in the office

June 27, 2013

of the clerk of the Board of Supervisors within ten (10) calendar days after the date of denial by the Planning and Zoning Director.

BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED, that this Ordinance is effective upon adoption.

D. Nelson County Emergency Services Council, Fire Trucks Acquisition

Mr. Harvey noted that there had been a meeting on the fire trucks that the County was trying to provide to volunteers. He noted that all of the bids were brought in and discussed, with the low bid being \$198,000 for the Lovington truck, and \$178,000 for the Faber truck; with the difference being the Faber truck had more equipment than originally quoted; mainly different hydraulics and hoses. He added that they were trying to get them as close to identical as possible. He noted that the Lovington and Montebello trucks were quoted within several thousand dollars of each other.

Mr. Harvey then reported that a \$5,400 early purchase discount was noted on all of the quotes and that they could pay for the trucks up front and would save 2.5% on the money from the time of order to delivery. He added that the departments were aware that if the County provided each with \$140,000 then they were responsible for the difference.

Mr. Harvey then suggested that two things needed to be done: Approve the \$420,000 contribution to the EMS Council and approve the loan funds for dispersal of Lovington's and Faber's additional funds for the trucks. He added that Montebello would pay their difference on the spot. He added that the trucks would go down the line back to back and that the departments could pick them up themselves by renting a van and then driving the trucks back. He noted that they would be made in Ocala Florida and that the company produced a large amount of trucks.

Mr. Harvey noted that the Faber Fire Department has never had a new piece of apparatus ever and that he was hoping to end up with every Department having a mini pumper, a decent engine and a tanker. He added that he wanted to move away from having large numbers of trucks to having quality trucks.

Mr. Hale then noted he was unclear about the advantage of the discount from paying up front and that they ought to have a timeframe for delivery stated. Mr. Harvey indicated that this would be specified and Mr. Saunders noted that the documents stated that the production would take seven to eight months. Mr. Harvey noted that they would be 2014 models.

Mr. Harvey then moved to approve the amount of \$420,000 to be donated to the EMS Council for three new fire trucks with one going to Lovington, one to Faber, and one to Montebello.

Mr. Hale seconded the motion and there being no further discussion, Supervisors voted unanimously (5-0) by roll call vote to approve the motion.

June 27, 2013

Members and staff discussed how to handle the additional funding being approved from the loan fund and Mr. Carter noted that the Board could approve the funding pending submittal of the requests by the EMS Council. He added they would essentially preauthorize the dispersal of funds and then ask them to fill out the loan paperwork with the Treasurer.

Mr. Harvey then moved to authorize the Treasurer to write a check out of the loan fund to the EMS Council in the amount of \$80,000 to cover Lovington's and Faber's portion of the truck costs; pending favorable consideration from the Council.

Ms. Brennan seconded the motion and there being no further discussion, Supervisors voted unanimously (5-0) by roll call vote to approve the motion.

Mr. Carter then advised the Board that staff may have to come forward with a budget amendment; however there were funds available in capital outlay and the contingency had enough funds in it to cover it.

Mr. Bruguere noted that the Board should think about taking care of Rescue vehicles in the next budget year and Mr. Harvey added that they should get in a cycle of applying to the State for grant funds twice a year so that the State would pay half and the County would pay half.

Mr. Harvey then noted that in terms of fire trucks, Piney River and Gladstone would want a tanker and an engine respectively; however the Council may only buy one or two next year.

V. Other Business (As May Be Presented)

Introduced: Jefferson Building Update

Mr. Carter noted that the Jefferson Building bids were taken and that staff was working on contracting with the company that did the V-ditch and retaining wall on the Courthouse grounds as well as the monument at NCHS. He added that he thought that the contractor was good and that the bids were close.

Introduced: Board Meetings in General District Courtroom

Ms. Brennan noted that they had come up with a reasonable way to hold meetings in the General District Courtroom. Mr. Carter added that staff was looking at the recording and sound system as this was currently uncertain. It was then noted that the current seating would be taken over to the courtroom.

Mr. Saunders questioned the issues with the sound system and noted there was no reason it could not work as far as he could tell and Mr. Carter noted that staff was working to address this. Mr. Saunders then explained that the seating furniture would be rolled to the

June 27, 2013

mechanical room at the end of the hall when not in use. Mr. Carter noted that he was not sure things would be resolved in time to hold the July 9th meeting there, however staff would try.

Introduced: Miscellaneous

Mr. Hale reminded the Board that they were to go to the Schuyler Community Center for lunch at 11:30 on July 9th.

Mr. Bruguieri and Ms. Brennan noted that they would not be in town for the second July meeting and cancelling the meeting was briefly discussed with the consensus being to wait and see.

Mr. Harvey mentioned the article in the Nelson County Times on the Gladstone Fire and Rescue Service's receipt of a regional award. He added that they should be commended for coming a long way.

Introduced: Update on Interlocken Festival Progress

Mr. Carter reported that Staff met with the festival promoter, who brought a revised site plan that included multiple layers for what they were doing. He added that the festival was still a go and that they were addressing all aspects of the event. He noted that any logistical issues had this year would be addressed for the next year. He added that David Thompson had emphasized code requirements and they were aware of those. He noted that they were working through security issues and have sold 12,000 or more tickets now. He noted that they would be meeting further as the festival approached. Mr. Carter then noted that he had suggested that in the future that staff and the Board could work on getting primary road funding to redo the intersection at Route 29 and Oak Ridge Road. He added that he had been favorably impressed with the event promoter's planning.

Ms. Brennan added that they were planning to close the intersection of Oak Ridge Road and Route 29 and would direct traffic down to Colleen and back as well as would build a new road across the Goodwin property.

Mr. Saunders added that once traffic got in, it would stay because they would have shuttle buses going in and out to take people to Lovingston etc.

Mr. Carter added that the main event would be on the racetrack, there would be vendors on either side of the concert grounds, and there would be huge tents to showcase local and Virginia products.

VI. Adjournment

At 7:55 PM, Mr. Hale moved to adjourn the meeting and Mr. Harvey seconded the motion. There being no further discussion, Supervisors voted unanimously by voice vote to approve the motion and the meeting adjourned.