

February 10, 2015

**Virginia:**

AT A REGULAR MEETING of the Nelson County Board of Supervisors at 2:00 p.m. in the General District Courtroom located on the third floor of the Nelson County Courthouse, in Lovingston Virginia.

Present: Constance Brennan, Central District Supervisor  
Allen M. Hale, East District Supervisor – Vice Chair  
Thomas H. Bruguire, Jr. West District Supervisor  
Larry D. Saunders, South District Supervisor – Chair  
Thomas D. Harvey, North District Supervisor  
Stephen A. Carter, County Administrator  
Candice W. McGarry, Administrative Assistant/Deputy Clerk  
Debra K. McCann, Director of Finance and Human Resources  
Tim Padalino, Director of Planning and Zoning

Absent: None

**I. Call to Order**

Mr. Saunders called the meeting to order at 2:00 PM, with all Supervisors present to establish a quorum.

- A. Moment of Silence
- B. Pledge of Allegiance – Mr. Bruguire led the pledge of Allegiance

**II. Resolution Recognizing the Service of the Honorable J. Michael Gamble (R2015-07)**

Ms. Brennan read aloud Resolution **R2015-07** recognizing the service of the Honorable J. Michael Gamble.

She then moved to approve resolution **R2015-07** and Mr. Harvey seconded the motion. There being no further discussion, Supervisors voted unanimously (5-0) by roll call vote to approve the motion and the following resolution was adopted:

**RESOLUTION R2015-07  
NELSON COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
RESOLUTION RECOGNIZING THE HONORABLE J. MICHAEL GAMBLE  
March 01, 1991 - February 28, 2015**

**WHEREAS**, on February 28, 2015, the Honorable J. Michael Gamble officially retires from service as Judge of the Circuit Court of the Twenty-fourth Judicial District of Virginia; and

February 10, 2015

**WHEREAS**, Judge Gamble is a life-long resident of Virginia, a graduate of Nelson County High School (1967), earned his Bachelor's degree from the University of Virginia (1971) and received his J.D. Degree from the University of Virginia College of Law (1974); and

**WHEREAS**, Judge Gamble received a U. S. Army ROTC Commission in 1971; served three months active duty and six years in active reserves (1971-1978), highest rank, Captain; served as a Company Commander for two years; and

**WHEREAS**, Judge Gamble practiced law in Amherst, Virginia, from 1974 to 1991, as a member of the firm of Pendleton & Gamble; he served as President of the Lynchburg Bar Association; President of the Amherst-Nelson Bar Association; Chairman of the Sixth District Disciplinary Committee of Virginia State Bar; Special Justice, Substitute General District Court Judge; Assistant Commissioner of Accounts; Town Attorney for Town of Amherst; School Board Attorney for Amherst County; Mentor Judge Advisory Committee; Fifth Regional Representative to Executive Committee of Judicial Conference of Virginia; Chairman of Virginia Model Jury Instructions Committee; and Chairman of Judicial Conduct Committee of Judicial Conference; Member of Boyd-Graves Committee, and Chairman of Subcommittee on Commissioners in Chancery of Task Force of Judicial Functions, Commission on Virginia Court; and

**WHEREAS**, Judge Gamble was appointed to the Circuit Court bench on March 01, 1991 and over the past twenty-four years Judge Gamble has presided over numerous cases where he has exhibited his knowledge, dedication and professionalism, all of which will be greatly missed; and

**WHEREAS**, Judge Gamble is an active and important part of his community and profession as a member and former chairman of the Board of Trustees of the Emmanuel United Methodist Church of Amherst; past President of the Amherst Rotary Club, and member of the Amherst Jaycees; and

**WHEREAS**, it is fitting and proper that the Nelson County Board of Supervisors recognizes the Honorable J. Michael Gamble for his many years of service and commitment to the citizens of Virginia, and to congratulate him on his well-deserved retirement as Judge, with best wishes for many years of happiness and contentment,

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE NELSON COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**, that The Board of Supervisors does hereby go on record as recognizing the Honorable J. Michael Gamble on his retirement from service from the Circuit Court of the Twenty-fourth Judicial District of Virginia.

### **III. Consent Agenda**

Mr. Hale moved to approve the consent agenda and Ms. Brennan seconded the motion. There being no further discussion, Supervisors voted unanimously (5-0) by roll call vote to approve the motion and the following resolutions were adopted:

February 10, 2015

A. Resolution – **R2015-08** Minutes for Approval

**RESOLUTION R2015-08  
NELSON COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES  
(January 13, 2015)**

**RESOLVED**, by the Nelson County Board of Supervisors that the minutes of said Board's meeting conducted on **January 13, 2015** be and hereby are approved and authorized for entry into the official record of the Board of Supervisors meetings.

B. Resolution – **R2015-09** COR Refunds

**RESOLUTION R2015-09  
NELSON COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
APPROVAL OF COMMISSIONER OF REVENUE REFUNDS**

**RESOLVED**, by the Nelson County Board of Supervisors that the following refunds, as certified by the Nelson County Commissioner of Revenue and County Attorney pursuant to §58.1-3981 of the Code of Virginia, be and hereby are approved for payment.

<u>Amount</u>	<u>Category</u>	<u>Payee</u>
\$576.00	2014 RE Taxes	Mr. John T. Bell P.O. Box 369 Catharpin, VA 20143

**IV. Public Comments and Presentations**

Prior to public comments, Mr. Saunders noted that staff would like to recognize and introduce a new employee. Ms. McCann then introduced Laura Lovern who was hired to work part time in the Finance Department. She noted that Ms. Lovern was a Virginia Tech graduate with fifteen (15) years of experience in the area of finance.

Mr. Saunders then asked to deviate from the agenda and allow Mr. Carter to speak to item V D. Dominion Request for Land Use Meeting with Nelson County Staff, prior to taking public comments. Supervisors then agreed by consensus to make the adjustment.

Mr. Carter advised the Board and public that the consideration for the Board was whether or not staff should meet with Dominion on land use concerns. He noted that Dominion had requested a meeting and after that was tabled, they asked if County Staff could just answer their list of questions. He the noted that staff needed direction from the Board on this.

Mr. Carter further explained that the meeting request had resulted from them asking questions regarding local permits. He noted that they were advised that there were no local

permits that would be needed. He added that he had gotten guidance from FERC that this was part of the regulatory process and the guidance provided by Mr. Payne, County Attorney, was that this was nothing out of the ordinary. He reiterated for the public that the referenced meeting was not about them getting any local permits or land use variances from the County.

Mr. Carter emphasized this by reading aloud part of the email to Ms. King of Dominion regarding them not getting any local permits. He added that an opinion provided by Mr. Payne also noted that there may not be any local permits that the County would have to consider. Mr. Carter noted that there may be some flood plain issues; however his understanding was that no local permits would be provided and to date, the County Attorney had confirmed this.

Mr. Carter then reiterated that staff needed the Board's guidance and did not in any way want the public to think there were secret meetings being held or that anything was being done outside of the public purview.

Mr. Saunders then advised that he had wanted to clarify this before public comments began in case it answered some questions held by the public in attendance.

#### A. Public Comments

##### 1. Eleanor Amidon, speaking on behalf of Vicki Wheaton of Faber

Ms. Amidon read aloud an email to Planning and Zoning Director, Tim Padalino regarding flood plains. In the email, she asked how the Planning and Zoning office would proceed when applications for permits in the flood plain came in and would he issue them. She also asked if he had sought out the expert advice on floodplains that he indicated he would. She then noted that Ms. Wheaton requested that his office overlay Nelson floodplain maps with the proposed pipeline route. She also advised that she would forward court precedents pertaining to similar situations to him as she discovered them.

##### 2. Eleanor Amidon, Afton

Ms. Amidon noted that her understanding was that counties were delegated land use authority by the state and were responsible for their flood plains. She read an excerpt from Charley Banks, the Virginia National Floodplain Program Coordinator, who stated "it was up to the County to administrate their floodplains, and the county is responsible if lawsuits result from failure to do so." She then recommended that the Board look closely at the report on the no adverse impact (NAI) floodplain management with an eye toward incorporating this into local ordinances, so that there was a uniform way to respond to all types of development requests. She then added that she would appreciate a response to this recommendation on or before the next meeting in March.

##### 3. Tom Harvey, Ennis Mountain

February 10, 2015

Mr. Harvey noted that he owned 800 acres and was not directly impacted by the proposed pipeline. He noted that he thought there was a lot happening that was not as transparent as it should be and that the pipeline as planned was not well thought out. He explained that he was not against pipelines or energy independence for the U.S.; however he was against the pipeline as it was laid out. He acknowledged the amount of developing opposition and noted he thought a win/win solution would be found. He then insisted that there be total openness and transparency in the process.

4. Charlie Weinberg, Ennis Mountain Rd.

Mr. Weinberg stated that the ACP was pumping gas to tidewater and it was unlikely that any users would be connecting in Nelson. He added that he thought the majority of jobs would be fleeting and it could not be deemed a sustainable source of economic well-being. He noted that the tax revenue would peak in 2022 and would decline and the only way to influence pipe revenue was to increase Real Estate taxes on everyone. Mr. Weinberg then stated that when R2014-67 was adopted, two Board members noted that they voted no not because they opposed the content of the resolution, but because they needed more information. He then asked if those two members had learned enough to take a position on the ACP and perhaps revisit this resolution.

5. Jill Fulmer, Afton

Ms. Fulmer requested that everyone remember that Dominion was not a public utility but was a for profit corporation. She noted that they had profit in mind and not the best interest of the county and that the Board was elected to ensure the overall wellbeing of citizens. She then asked that all meetings with Dominion be open to public attendance and feedback.

6. Craig Cooper, Nellysford

Mr. Cooper noted that he appreciated Mr. Carter's comments of clarification. He then asked that any meetings held with Dominion be public and announced in advance, as there were many concerned and Dominion was not being straightforward or transparent in their plans. He then asked the Board to please ensure that all staff and employees did everything in public with full disclosure and transparency and to ask them to follow procedures and laws.

7. James Klemic, Afton

Mr. Klemic noted that at the first Dominion meeting, they were asked about water usage during the process and they did not answer. He noted that if they used the available water in the County, Nelson would be in trouble and that water would need to be provided from elsewhere. He added that it would have negative impacts forever afterwards if it were not addressed and that water was an important resource for the County and this needed to be looked at.

8. Ernie Reid

Mr. Reid thanked those in attendance and the late Austin Embrey for bringing him to Nelson County. He noted that Nelson County had a way of keeping out things that were not in the best interest of the county. He noted that people in other communities were looking to Nelson for support in what they were doing in dealing with the same types of issues and problems. He added that Nelson had really come together as a community and this had electrified the area and was unparalleled. He noted this showed how democracy worked and he thanked the Board for leading the effort.

9. Donna Truslow, Crozet

Ms. Truslow noted that she had attended lobbying in Richmond. She reported that the state of Oklahoma had banned fracking in the entire state because they were having earthquakes directly related to fracking. She noted that she had spoken with Senator Marsden and according to him, New York had banned it as well.

She then noted that it had disturbed her that they had asked representatives to abstain from accepting gifts from Dominion and their subsidiaries and no one had signed to her knowledge. She then reported that Senator Creigh Deeds had reported accepting money from Dominion.

Ms. Truslow then noted that it had been proven that the current electoral system was rigged, that integrity needed to be restored in every voting precinct, and money could not be allowed to rule.

10. Matt Dwyer, Howardsville VA

Mr. Dwyer noted that he had attended federal Court in Harrisonburg earlier in the week and was in Richmond the previous day. He noted that what could be done at the local level was all that they could do and that the County had to use whatever means it had locally. He added that Dominion was already asking for variances in Highland County and he wanted to reiterate what had already been said by others in asking for transparency during the process.

B. Presentation – FY14 Financial Audit Report -Robinson, Farmer, Cox Assoc. (D. Foley)

Mr. Dave Foley of RFCA addressed the Board and noted that he was the Audit Manager for the County's FY14 financial audit. Mr. Foley noted that their results were included in three reports. He referred to page 1 of the independent auditors report and page 2, the opinion on financial statements. He noted that they had issued the cleanest opinion they could give which was called "unmodified". He noted two (2) additional reports that were included in the back of the audit on page 101, internal controls of financial reporting. He noted that this was clean as well and he noted he felt the County had strong controls in place and there were no weaknesses or deficiencies. Mr. Foley then noted a third report on page 103 and he noted this was the report on compliance over major federal programs. He explained that they

February 10, 2015

complete a checklist and this was a clean report as well. He then concluded by noting that there were no other issues to be reported.

Mr. Foley then thanked Ms. McCann, Mr. Carter, and staff for all of their preparation related to the audit. He added that they also did an excellent job assisting them when they were on site.

Ms. Brennan then thanked him for a clean audit and thanked staff. Mr. Bruguire thanked staff for running a fiscally responsible county and Mr. Saunders thanked everyone involved and county staff for doing a great job.

#### C. Presentation – Piedmont Virginia Community College (F. Friedman)

Dr. Frank Friedman, President of Piedmont Virginia Community College addressed the Board. He first introduced Mr. Tom Proulx, the County's member of the Board of Directors.

Dr. Friedman then discussed enrollment, noting that it had leveled off in 2011 and was at 5,554 in the fall; down from the peak. He noted that this typically meant that more people were going back to work. He noted that Nelson County had enrollment of 198 in the fall, which was slightly less than the peak. He added that a large chunk of this was high school students. He reported that in the fall, there were 44 high school students taking online courses and 25 were taking face to face courses at the High School. He noted that they wanted to expand this and wanted to certify more of the High School teachers to teach their courses so it would be free. He explained that if the High School had certified teachers teaching their courses then there was no cost involved.

Dr. Friedman then discussed the Early College Program which he noted was infusing courses into the high school curriculum so students could earn an AS degree at the same time as their high school diploma. He noted that a barrier to that was that most of those courses were online or with their faculty and was not free. He added that financial aid was not available for this; however monies that the Board put in the budget had made a huge difference and was a great investment. Dr. Friedman reported there were eight (8) students in the program now and this should double by next year to around fifteen (15).

Dr. Friedman then reported that they were working on short term programs to get people back to work quickly. He noted there were two new ones in the healthcare area that were being funded by the hospitals: Pharmacy Technician and Central Processing (sterilization of instruments and ORs). He added that they were putting in a retail management certification program and an IT certification specializing in Cyber Security.

Mr. Saunders thanked Dr. Friedman for his report and Ms. Brennan added how fabulous PVCC was. She noted that she liked the new short term training courses. Dr. Friedman then noted that there would be a meeting on how to expand services in Nelson County coming up soon.

D. VDOT Report

Mr. Don Austin was present to report and noted the following:

Mr. Austin reported that the Six Year Plan update was to be done in late spring. He noted that they were waiting for information from Richmond which would be late in coming; however they would work with revising the priority list with the Board in the next few months. He added that it would likely be May or June before the public hearing was held. Mr. Austin noted that they were working on primary roads too but this would be delayed another 30-60 days. He then noted that HB1887 changed the formula for distributing transportation funds to localities.

Mr. Austin noted that they had gotten complaints about litter in the County and that they had two inmate crews working on this; one on Route 151 and another on Route 29. He noted that they came from Rustburg to do the work.

Mr. Austin noted that the shoulder widening north of Route 6 and Route 29 would be done in late spring.

Mr. Austin inquired again about replacing the two historical markers at \$1600 each that according DHR would have to be run through them and paid for by the County. He noted that he was getting clarification on how the replacement would happen. Supervisors and Staff noted that it had been decided to move forward at the previous meeting and Mr. Austin noted he would work with Mr. Carter on starting this process.

Mr. Austin noted that on the Ariel Drive request, this was looked at this past fall and he noted that this was an unpaved road and the traffic count was 60 vehicles per day. He noted that any resurfacing would have to run through the Rural Rustic program and it was not currently on the list and would have to be added.

Mr. Saunders suggested that this be reviewed when the Board revised the list for the Rural Rustic Program and it was noted to be Route 645.

Mr. Bruguere, Mr. Hale, and Ms. Brennan had no VDOT issues to discuss.

Mr. Harvey noted that gravel was needed over on Route 151 at the new school and up from Pounding Branch and below Sunrise Drive.

Mr. Harvey then noted that he had met with VDOT out of Charlottesville on the Afton Overlook clean up and they were good to go with that and were easy to work with.

Mr. Saunders inquired as to whether or not the Y intersection at Laurel Road and Browning's Cove Road had been addressed and Mr. Austin noted it had not and was still on the list.

February 10, 2015

Mr. Saunders then inquired as to whether or not VDOT could put lines on the crossover at Wilson Hill Rd. and Route 29 and Mr. Austin noted they would look at turning movements etc. on that and traffic volumes.

1. VDOT Request to Abandon & Add Segments of Route 665,  
Roseland Road (**R2015-06 Deferred**)

Mr. Austin noted that the area to be abandoned was the location of the park and ride and VDOT maintained it. Mr. Carter noted that at the last meeting when this subject was presented there were concerns expressed over who would maintain it going forward and VDOT would continue to maintain the area.

Mr. Austin reiterated that it would be maintained by VDOT and added that this was where they had shifted the intersection to the right and the request was to abandon that area as a public road and maintain the right of way; which went close to the river.

Mr. Bruguiere moved to approve Resolution **R2015-06** VDOT Request for Abandonment and Addition of Realigned Segment on Route 655 Roseland Road. Ms. Brennan seconded the motion and there being no further discussion, Supervisors voted unanimously (5-0) by roll call vote to approve the motion and the following resolution was adopted:

**RESOLUTION R2015-06  
NELSON COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
VDOT REQUEST FOR ABANDONMENT AND ADDITION  
OF REALIGNED SEGMENT ON ROUTE 655 ROSELAND ROAD**

**WHEREAS**, the Virginia Department of Transportation has constructed Roseland Road (Route 655) on a new alignment under the completed project 0151-062-112, C-501, B-607, and

**WHEREAS**, the project sketch dated January 5, 2015 and VDOT Form(s) AM-4.3, attached and incorporated herein as part of this resolution, defines adjustments required in the Secondary System of State highways as a result of construction, and

**WHEREAS**, certain segment identified is ready to be accepted into the Secondary System of State Highways, and

**WHEREAS**, the new road serves the same citizens as served by the portion of old road identified in the Form AM-4.3 and project sketch to be abandoned, which no longer serves a public need, and

**NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, this board hereby requests the Virginia Department of Transportation to take the necessary action to abandon segment D-D1 identified on the incorporated Form AM-4.3 and attached project sketch dated January 5, 2015 as a part of the Secondary System of State Highways, pursuant to §33.2-912, Code of Virginia, and

February 10, 2015

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED**, this board requests the Virginia Department of Transportation to add the segment E-D1 identified on the incorporated Form AM-4.3 to the Secondary System of State highways, pursuant to §33.2-705 of the Code of Virginia, for which sections this Board hereby guarantees the right of way to be clear and unrestricted, including any necessary easements for cuts, fills, and drainage, and

**BE IT FINALLY RESOLVED** that a certified copy of this resolution and incorporated forms be forwarded to the Virginia Department of Transportation's Area Land Use Engineer.

2. Citizen Request to Abandon a Portion of Route 641 (R. McSwain)

Mr. Robert McSwain presented a PowerPoint that showed pictorially the aspects of the request. He noted that the portion of the road he was requesting to be abandoned went up Simpson's Ridge and down to Eades Hollow. He noted that these roads had been used for logging and by hunt clubs. He noted that the average width of the road was 11 ft. in the state maintained portion. He added that he would not be restricting the Bridgewater property with the abandonment and Mr. Bridgewater would have full access to the property as he did now.

Mr. Bruguiere noted from the maps that the only people involved were Mr. Whitehead, him, and Mr. Bridgewater and he asked if he would have to grant them easements. Mr. McSwain noted that he would not have to now that Whitehead was not abandoning his section now and the boundary of abandonment had been moved. He added that there would be a public road up to Bridgewater, then the other sections would be abandoned; and only 2 properties were affected now.

Mr. McSwain noted that he would need to know how many miles would be abandoned for the resolution and Mr. Austin noted that VDOT could help him with that.

Mr. McSwain further noted that there was no public need for people to be going up there unless they had permission. He noted that he would have to put up a gate between him and Bridgewater at the corner and that this was a modified smaller version of his original request.

Mr. Whitehead in attendance noted that the McSwains were great people and he had no objections to the amended request to abandon the road after his property. He added that he wanted to keep the right of way in front of his property.

Mr. Hale noted that he did not see the Wilhelm property and asked how he got to it. Mr. McSwain noted where it was on the map and noted that he wanted to keep that discontinued so he could access his property as it would otherwise be landlocked.

Mr. Carter then noted that the Board could ask Mr. Austin questions and if they wanted to proceed, it would require a resolution of intent and some other steps. He added that they could discuss discontinuance versus abandonment.

Mr. Austin noted that in the abandonment process, the County had to pass a resolution of intent to abandon. He noted that they would then post this and notices on the roadways and advise property owners. He added that the resolution notified VDOT of intent also and they provided comment and action was taken after that. He added that abandonment for VDOT included returning property to property owners.

Mr. Austin then noted that discontinuance was what VDOT needed to do on their end and VDOT would issue a resolution through the County and would hold public hearings. He added that the public right of way stayed in place when a road was discontinued. He noted that if the road served multiple property owners, they would typically discontinue it.

He clarified by saying that with abandonment, everything went away and with discontinuance, only the maintenance went away.

Mr. Harvey noted that he would like to see an agreement from all property owners involved. Mr. Hale noted that he could look into this and suggested that action be taken at the next meeting. The Board agreed by consensus and the matter was deferred until the March meeting.

### 3. Ariel Drive Surface Treatment Request

This matter was discussed with Mr. Austin's VDOT report.

## **V. New Business/ Unfinished Business**

### **A. Emergency Services Council Interest Free Loan Request – Wintergreen Fire Dept.**

Mr. Carter noted that the EMS Council was requesting \$250,000 for a fire truck for Wintergreen Fire Department. He added that they currently had no loan balances and the balance in the fund was \$446,201.40. Mr. Carter noted that these requests come to the Board for approval through the Emergency Services Council and Wintergreen Fire Department had noted that they would repay the loan faster than required.

Mr. Bruguiere confirmed that the EMS Council endorsed the request without any issues and it was supposed that the truck would be housed in the valley. Mr. Carter noted that the letter of requested stated it would primarily serve the valley area and would serve the County.

Mr. Hale then moved to approve a loan of \$250,000 for Wintergreen Fire Department and Ms. Brennan seconded the motion. Mr. Harvey then clarified that this was not related to the purchase of trucks funding rotation.

There being no further discussion, Supervisors voted unanimously (5-0) by roll call vote to approve the motion.

### **B. Remuneration of NC Broadband Authority Board Members (R2015-10)**

February 10, 2015

Mr. Carter reported that the Broadband Authority Bylaws provided for payment of Board Members by resolution of the Board. He noted that information regarding payment amounts for other County Boards/Commissions had been provided.

Mr. Hale then suggested payment of \$75 per meeting being in keeping with what the Planning Commission and EDA were paid. He then moved to approve resolution **R2015-10**, Remuneration of Nelson County Broadband Authority Members inserting the amount of \$75 per meeting attended plus mileage and Mr. Bruguere seconded the motion.

Mr. Saunders then noted that Mr. Patrick did put in a lot of time as Chair of the Authority and he inquired as to whether or not the Chair could be paid more than the other members. Mr. Hale and Mr. Harvey noted that none of the other Boards did this.

Mr. Saunders then noted that at a recent training he attended, he learned that the majority of other counties did pay the Chair more than the other members. He noted that Board of Supervisors Chairs were typically paid \$2,000 more than the others.

Mr. Bruguere agreed that Chairs should receive a little higher stipend and Mr. Saunders noted that the Board should consider this in the future.

Mr. Hale and Mr. Harvey maintained their opposition to this and there being no further discussion, Supervisors voted unanimously (5-0) by roll call vote to approve the motion and the following resolution was adopted:

**RESOLUTION R2015-10  
NELSON COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
REMUNERATION OF NELSON COUNTY  
BROADBAND AUTHORITY MEMBERS**

**WHEREAS**, Section 3.7, Compensation of the adopted Bylaws of the Nelson County Broadband Authority prescribes that compensation of Authority members may be fixed from time to time by resolution of the Board of Supervisors,

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED**, that the Nelson County Board of Supervisors does hereby set the compensation for Nelson County Broadband Authority Members at \$75.00 per meeting attended and Members will be reimbursed for any actual expenses necessarily incurred in the performance of their duties.

Ms. Brennan then asked staff to check on the status of the James River ASAP Policy Board.

C. Courthouse Project Phase II  
1. Expense Reimbursement Resolution (**R2015-11**)

Mr. Carter noted that the Board had the authority to recover project costs sixty days prior to the financing being in place such as Architectural and Engineering fees. He noted that the

February 10, 2015

Board had previously approved a reimbursement resolution for the project; however the project amount was lower than the latest estimate which had been updated to \$7.5M.

Mr. Hale questioned the need to do this if the County was going to use fund balance for some of this and Mr. Carter noted that staff was still vetting financing and things could change. He added that they could come up with an even more beneficial strategy and he added that this had been done with the larger projects.

Mr. Hale supposed that it gave the County flexibility even though it was not required. Mr. Carter confirmed this and recommended the Board approve it since it gave them the ability to recover costs if needed. Ms. McCann noted that if they had any project funds remaining, they could use this to pay for things that had already been paid for.

Mr. Bruguiere then moved to approve Resolution **R2015-11**, Resolution of Board of Supervisors of Nelson County Virginia Declaring its Intention to Reimburse Itself From the Proceeds of One or More Tax-Exempt Financings for Certain Expenditures Made And/Or To Be Made in Connection with the Acquisition, Construction, Expansion, Renovation and Equipping of Nelson County Courthouse Facilities.

Mr. Hale seconded the motion and Mr. Carter assured the Board that the proposed resolution was not threatening in any way. There being no further discussion, Supervisors voted unanimously (5-0) by roll call vote to approve the motion and the following resolution was adopted:

**RESOLUTION R2015-11**  
**RESOLUTION OF BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF NELSON COUNTY,**  
**VIRGINIA DECLARING ITS INTENTION TO REIMBURSE ITSELF**  
**FROM THE PROCEEDS OF ONE OR MORE TAX-EXEMPT**  
**FINANCINGS FOR CERTAIN EXPENDITURES MADE AND/OR TO**  
**BE MADE IN CONNECTION WITH THE ACQUISITION,**  
**CONSTRUCTION, EXPANSION, RENOVATION AND EQUIPPING**  
**OF NELSON COUNTY COURTHOUSE FACILITIES**

**WHEREAS**, the County of Nelson (**the “Issuer”**) is a political subdivision organized and existing under the laws of the Commonwealth of Virginia; and

**WHEREAS**, the Issuer has paid beginning no earlier than 60 days prior to the date of adoption of this resolution, and will pay, on and after the date hereof, certain expenditures (**“Expenditures”**) for the acquisition, construction, expansion, renovation and equipping of Nelson County Courthouse facilities and related administrative space and holding areas (**the “Project”**); and

**WHEREAS**, the Board of Supervisors of the Issuer (**the “Board”**) has determined that those moneys previously advanced no earlier than 60 days prior to the date of adoption of this resolution and to be advanced on and after the date hereof to pay the Expenditures are

available only for a temporary period and it is necessary to reimburse the Issuer for the Expenditures from the proceeds of one or more issues of tax-exempt bonds (the “Bonds”).

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD AS FOLLOWS:**

Section 1. The Board hereby declares the Issuer’s intent to reimburse the Issuer with the proceeds of the Bonds for the Expenditures with respect to the Project made on and after the dates referenced above. The Issuer reasonably expects on the date hereof that it will reimburse the Expenditures with the proceeds of the Bonds.

Section 2. Each Expenditure was and will be either (a) of a type properly chargeable to capital account under general federal income tax principles (determined in each case as of the date of the Expenditures), (b) a cost of issuance with respect to the Bonds, (c) a nonrecurring item that is not customarily payable from current revenues, or (d) a grant to a party that is not related to or an agent of the Issuer so long as such grant does not impose any obligation or condition (directly or indirectly) to repay any amount to or for the benefit of the Issuer.

Section 3. The maximum principal amount of the Bonds expected to be issued for the Project is \$7,500,000.

Section 4. The Issuer will make a reimbursement allocation, which is a written allocation by the Issuer that evidences the Issuer’s use of proceeds of the Bonds to reimburse an Expenditure, no later than 18 months after the later of the date on which the Expenditure is paid or the Project is placed in service or abandoned, but in no event more than three years after the date on which the Expenditure is paid. The Issuer recognizes that exceptions are available for certain “preliminary expenditures,” costs of issuance, certain de minimis amounts, expenditures by “small issuers” (based on the year of issuance and not the year of expenditure) and expenditures for construction projects of at least 5 years.

Section 5. This resolution shall take effect immediately upon its passage.

2. Energy Audit Proposal – Architectural Partners

Mr. Carter noted that this was presented in follow up on a Board directive and he had enlisted Architectural Partners to give a proposal on this. He noted that the County could add this as additional services to the overall Courthouse Phase II project contract. Mr. Carter noted that Jim Vernon had proposed that the majority of the assessment would be completed by their work and bid out with the overall project; everything they would address would be done within the project. He noted that secondly, they proposed to look at the new courts complex, Jefferson Building, old jail and hallway that weren't part of the Phase II project and would then report recommendations for a cost of \$9,680.

Ms. Brennan noted that this was different than doing what the schools did; which was noted to be a performance contracting audit. Mr. Carter noted that he could ask them if that was something they could do.

February 10, 2015

Mr. Hale noted that he did not think this needed to be done since most of these spaces had just been built or renovated. He added that in Phase II, the committee had reduced the budget by taking out areas that were not going to change and he would consider this if the bids came in less than the estimate.

Mr. Harvey then noted that he thought Ms. Brennan's intent was to do what the schools have done with Honeywell.

Mr. Hale suggested this be revisited down the road after completion of the project and the Board agree by consensus to table the matter and no action was taken.

Mr. Carter then added that what the schools did was to have Honeywell assess HVAC systems and come up with savings that would cover the cost of the replacement of old systems with new equipment.

3. Authorization to Execute A/E Contract for Final Design &  
Construction Management (**R2015-12**)

Mr. Hale moved to approve Resolution **R2015-12**, Resolution Authorizing the Execution of a Contract for Final Architectural Design and Construction Services Related to the Renovation of the Historic Courthouse (Courthouse Project Phase II).

Mr. Bruguiere seconded the motion and Mr. Hale noted that staff had been instructed to prepare the resolution at the last meeting when the Board decided to move forward.

There being no further discussion, Supervisors voted unanimously (5-0) by roll call vote to approve the motion and the following resolution was adopted:

**RESOLUTION R2015-12**  
**NELSON COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**  
**RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION OF A CONTRACT FOR**  
**FINAL ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION SERVICES**  
**RELATED TO THE RENOVATION OF THE HISTORIC COURTHOUSE**  
**(COURTHOUSE PROJECT PHASE II)**

**WHEREAS**, in accordance with the Virginia Public Procurement Act, §2.2-4300 of the Code of Virginia, Competitive Negotiation Process, proposals for project RFP#2014-NC01, Professional Architectural, Engineering and Planning Services Nelson County Courthouse Design and Construction Services were solicited and received on May 28, 2014; with interviews of the top ranked firms conducted on July 11, 2014 and the contract for these services subsequently awarded to Architectural Partners, and

**WHEREAS**, the Courthouse Project Phase II Committee has worked with Architectural Partners to develop a feasible preliminary design, and

**WHEREAS**, on January 13, 2015, the Nelson County Board of Supervisors approved the preliminary design plan known as Option E and authorized staff to proceed with negotiating

February 10, 2015

a contract with Architectural Partners for final design and construction administration services for a maximum total project cost of \$7,500,000;

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED**, by the Nelson County Board of Supervisors, the County Administrator, Stephen A. Carter, is hereby authorized to execute a contract in the amount of \$573,775.00 with Architectural Partners on behalf of Nelson County for final design and construction administration services related to Phase II of the Courthouse project.

D. Dominion Request for Land Use Meeting with Nelson County Staff

Mr. Carter suggested that in lieu of a meeting, the Dominion questions could be answered and could be posted for a couple of weeks on the website in order to get citizen input. After that, the answers could then be sent to Dominion. Alternatively, the blank questions could be posted on the website in order to get input and staff answers could be posted as well.

Mr. Hale noted that there was no legal requirement to respond and he suggested that staff address questions pertinent to County offices. He added that these should be addressed in writing, posted on the website for comment and then add as a response, a series of questions posed to them regarding the project. He then noted that with Dominion it was a one way street and he did not favor a meeting, public or otherwise, because what they said did not have any weight to it.

Supervisors then discussed whether or not the County should respond to the questions given it would take up staff time and that Dominion had not answered the Board's questions. Conversely, they discussed whether or not they should be treated as any other applicant would be treated when doing business with a County Office, specifically planning and Zoning. There was some sentiment that if the questions were not answered, the pipeline would go where they wanted it and it may be better to work with them on it to get a better product. The overriding sentiment was that Dominion had not been forthcoming in any way and that the Board did not want staff to spend their time on the questions.

Mr. Harvey then moved that the County not respond to Dominion on the questions they had submitted and Ms. Brennan seconded the motion. There being no further discussion, Supervisors voted unanimously (5-0) by roll call vote to approve the motion.

Other concerns raised during the discussion included: Could Dominion put in the pipeline and then in future years put another one in the same place, could local Ordinances be overridden by FERC, were floodplain permits federal permits. Ms. Brennan also noted that she would like the Board to consider a resolution asking Dominion to look at all possible alternate routes using existing right of ways and/or collocating with other utilities.

Mr. Carter also assured the Board and public that the County has had limited contact with Dominion other than helping them with meeting space. Ms. Brennan then indicated that she would like to know about any future contact that County offices have with Dominion as she wanted to know what Dominion was asking staff about.

E. Solid Waste Collection Roll-Off Truck & Bucket Truck Purchase

*Solid Waste Collection Roll-Off Truck:*

Mr. Carter noted this had been discussed the previous meeting and Supervisors tabled it in order to get Mr. Harvey's input. He noted that staff would like to replace a 2009 truck and hoist system with a 2016 model. He noted that procurement was through a cooperative procurement arrangement and staff was proposing to proceed with Board approval. He added that Truck and Equipment Corp. would provide the truck and the tarp system would be provided by Cavalier Equipment. In addition, he noted that staff was requesting an automatic transmission which would cost another \$8,031 above the budgeted funding.

It was noted that the 2009 truck was an automatic and Supervisors noted that most drivers used an automatic and these had better resale value.

Mr. Hale noted that one of the drivers said he would be happy enough with a straight transmission and Ms. McCann advised that the newest truck had a manual transmission and they would have both if the truck purchased was an automatic.

Supervisors then agreed by consensus to go with an automatic transmission.

Mr. Harvey then moved to purchase the truck specified at the cost of \$98,031.00. Mr. Hale seconded the motion and there being no further discussion, Supervisors voted unanimously (5-0) by roll call vote to approve the motion.

*Bucket Truck Purchase:*

Mr. Carter noted that staff had been looking for a used bucket truck to use for changing out street lights etc. and Mr. Harvey had related that a local dealer had one. He noted that Paul Truslow had looked into it and was okay with it and the cost was \$6,500.00. He added that if the Board wanted to proceed with the purchase they would need to note that the purchase was in the County's best interest since there was no competitive pricing obtained.

Mr. Harvey related that he had been up in the bucket and it worked perfectly; adding that it was a VDOT truck and was well maintained. Mr. Saunders noted that rental for a bucket truck was \$300 per day or \$1,000 per week. He added that he had looked at one that was similar for \$11,000.00. Mr. Harvey then recommended that the truck be certified. He noted that the School Board may need it 60% of the time to the County's 40% and staff should be trained on its use. Mr. Saunders added that it was tall enough to reach street lights.

Ms. Brennan then moved that the County purchase the bucket truck for \$6,500.00 and Mr. Bruguere seconded the motion. Mr. Saunders reiterated that he thought it was adequate to meet the County's needs and the purchase was in the best interest of the County; however he would like to get it painted as it had some rust spots.

There being no further discussion, Supervisors voted unanimously (5-0) by roll call vote to approve the motion.

**VI. Reports, Appointments, Directives, and Correspondence**

A. Reports

1. County Administrator's Report

Mr. Carter reported the following:

**1. Courthouse Project Phase II:** Review and approval consideration of the contract with Architectural Partners for provision of project design and construction services is a 2-10-15 agenda item.

**2. Broadband:** A public announcement on the County's Local Innovation Grant application to VA-DHCD is scheduled for 10:00 a.m. on 2-13 at Bold Rock Cidery.

**3. BR Tunnel and BR Railway Trail Projects:** a) **BRRT** – Project close out by VDOT is pending final materials verification by the Department. a) **BRT** – Construction of Phase 1 is in progress and moving towards completion. Bid advertisement for construction of Phase 2 is projected to be issued by 4-15-15. A decision on the County's Phase 3 TAP grant application is pending.

**4. Radio Project:** The new radio system is operational with staff and the project consultants working on punch list items.

Mr. Harvey added that the radio system issues had been 90% operator error and that the equipment was doing its job. He noted that discrepancies existed in Dispatch; however Jaime Miller was working on it and the issues were getting better.

**5. Lovingson Health Care Center:** No additional progress has been made on this subject.

Mr. Carter added that he had been emailing with two companies and had sent them both the Howard studies. He noted that it was not sounding too promising; however both said they would look at the studies and come over to meet sometime in Mid-March.

**6. Solid Waste –A) Region 2000 Service Authority:** The Authority's work on a long term expansion using a recently acquired property is presently on hold. **B) Glass Recycling:** Competitive pricing obtained for purchase of recycling containers. \$26,700 to \$31,600 is required to equip the staffed collection sites. Budgetary funding is \$20,000. Subject to Board input, the balance will be taken from either the FY 14-15 Contingency Reserve (Non-Recurring) Account or from savings within the Capital Outlay department budget. **C) Groundwater Monitoring:** The County, through its solid waste consultant, has submitted a request to VA-DEQ to reduce the scope and testing parameters of the closed landfill groundwater monitoring program. A decision by DEQ will require several weeks.

A) Mr. Carter noted that the existing landfill expansion would last the Authority until 2030 so about 15 more years. He noted that the Authority would continue to engage Campbell

February 10, 2015

County in expanding and would also be looking at alternatives. He added that the County had an advantage in having its own transfer station and that at any time, they could get out of the Authority and resume what was being done before.

B) Mr. Carter noted the low and high costs and stated that the higher cost was from the preferred vendor. He noted that staff had done an analysis on it and the County would save a little bit of money in doing this. He added that this was speculative because it was hard to gauge from historical records how many trips would be made. He noted that the analysis showed that in 5.5 years, the equipment investment would be paid for.

Supervisors' consensus was that they wanted to see the analysis before agreeing to implement this.

Ms. Brennan then inquired as to what was done with the glass and Mr. Carter noted that it gets taken to a company in North Carolina and they did something with it.

C) Mr. Carter noted that staff budgeted around \$90,000-\$100,000 annually and it typically came in around \$40,000-\$70,000.

**7. FY 15-16 Budget:** In process. Draft budget submittal to the Board is projected to be mid- March 2015.

Mr. Harvey indicated that he would like to get the budget sooner and would like more departmental involvement. Ms. Brennan agreed noting she would also like to talk with each department about their budgets.

Mr. Hale suggested that as the budget was being developed, they should address issues that would have a substantial impact; such as having open discussion on raises.

Mr. Carter noted that the two largest issues were a pay adjustment and school funding.

Ms. Brennan noted she would like to see the historical trend on pay raises and then discuss what the state may do.

Mr. Saunders indicated he would like to see the School Board's proposal and salary scales etc.

**8. South Rockfish Valley Historic District Project:** In progress and administered by VA-DHR (first public meeting is 6 p.m. on 2-10 at Bold Rock Cidery).

**9. 2015 Lockn' Festival:** Initial planning meet with Festival sponsors, County and state agency(s) staffs conducted on 2-6-15 at Oak Ridge Estate.

Mr. Bruguiere noted that LOCKN needed to start their permitting process a lot earlier than when they were advertising for events. He added that he thought they should have the required permits before advertising ticket sales.

February 10, 2015

Mr. Saunders reported that they were already 600% ahead of sales last year and that they had started selling tickets for Christmas presents.

Mr. Harvey noted that they should have approval of the event and then amendments to it if something changed from year to year. Mr. Saunders noted that they were also working on getting a different abc license.

**10. 2015 General Assembly Session:** Session ends on 2-28-15 (Cross-over day is 2-10, which provides for both houses completing work on its own legislation) Veto session – 4-8-15.

**11. Department Reports:** Included with 1-13-15 BOS agenda.

## 2. Board Reports

Ms. Brennan reported the following:

1. Attended a LOCKN meeting. She noted that there would be an event called Blue Ridge Bowl on the LOCKN land in April hosting 3,000 people. She noted that they were hoping to get permanent water on the site and phase in sewer. She added that they were organizing cell phone service improvements.

2. Attended a JABA Business Development Meeting. She noted they were looking at ways to raise money to fund services. She noted that they had gotten the right to promote and sell tracker devices that went in shoes; so that if the wearer went beyond a certain area, someone would be notified.

3. Attended Department of Social Services Board meeting.

Mr. Bruguire reported attending the Emergency Services Council meeting. He noted that the Wintergreen Fire Department truck request was discussed along with the new radios and pagers. He noted that he met with Motorola and staff on the fix and they were on the right track.

Mr. Hale reported the following:

1. Attended the Blue Ridge Tunnel Progress Meeting and noted that the Contractor, FCE, was to remove the muck at the entrance and into the tunnel. He added that it would be determined who would pay for it and that they had started on it. He noted that this would take care of the poor drainage at the tunnel entrance.

2. The TJPDC meeting was not held as they did not have a quorum

Mr. Harvey reported the following:

1. Did not attend NCSA meeting due to illness.

2. Met at the Afton Overlook with the Culpeper VDOT people and the landowner and noted there was a tremendous difference in dealing with them versus the Lynchburg office. He then noted that he wanted to start having some meetings on this and noted that the landowner was willing to let them do whatever they wanted. He added that they had to have state permission to shut down the overlook when they were working on it. He then suggested that if the Rockfish Valley Fire Department took this on as a project, they would pay for it and then get reimbursed up to whatever the Board agreed upon. It was noted that the permit applicant needed to be the County or it could possibly be the Fire Department for insurance purposes.

Mr. Hale supposed that the Board would be amenable to this; however he would like to know some numbers. Mr. Harvey noted he thought a maximum cost of \$10,000 was likely. He added that he would like to see a deck built out there so people would be discouraged from going over the side.

Mr. Hale then inquired as to whether or not VDOT would maintain it and Mr. Harvey noted that there were some people willing to do it and Ms. Brennan noted her preference was to see VDOT take some ownership of it.

Mr. Harvey then noted that if the Fire Department took this on as a project, then citizens could donate to the project through them.

Supervisors then agreed by consensus that \$10,000 for this was reasonable and agreeable to the Board.

Mr. Saunders reported the following:

1. Met with Blue Mountain Brewery Owners a couple of times.
2. Attended LOCKN meeting.
3. Attended Blue Ridge Tunnel progress meeting.
4. Attended Chairman School in Richmond and noted there were 15 counties represented with 19 people in attendance. He noted that there was one person from Brunswick County that had attended three other times and who said that training was the best one with great participation.
5. Met with Constituents on the Atlantic Coast Pipeline.

#### B. Appointments

Ms. McGarry noted that there were no new appointments for the Board's consideration and there remained vacancies on the Local Board of Building Code Appeals and the Library Advisory Council – East District.

February 10, 2015

1. Letter re: Jefferson Area Disability Services Board

Ms. McGarry then reported that a letter dated January 22, 2015 was received from the Thomas Jefferson Planning District Commission advising that the Jefferson Area Disability Services Board was being disbanded.

She noted that the letter stated that this was due to the state establishing another such Council called No Wrong Door (NWD) in response to the Federal initiative called Aging and Disability Resource Connections (ADRC). She added that per the state initiative, the Jefferson Area Board for Aging (JABA) had been designated as the lead agency and coordinator for the NWD Council and agency and organizational representatives on the former DSB have been invited to join the new NWD Council. She noted that the individual representatives would not be included in the new Council structure and the County's individual representative, Mr. Jason Hatfield had been notified of these changes via courtesy copy of the letter. She added that a letter would be sent to him on behalf of the Board, thanking him for his exemplary service to the DSB.

Ms. McGarry then noted that at the January 13<sup>th</sup> Board Meeting, Ms. Kelly Hughes, the new School Division Special Education Director was appointed as the County's agency representative to the DSB. She noted that she had been apprised of the aforementioned changes and JABA had been given her contact information in order to coordinate her participation on the new NWD Council; which was presently in its developmental stages. Ms. McGarry then noted that she had requested that JABA provide her with the Council's organizational details once they were established and these would be forwarded to the Board at that time.

Ms. Brennan questioned whether or not there could be any role for Mr. Hatfield and Ms. McGarry noted she would check.

C. Correspondence

1. Nelson Co. Electoral Board – Repairs to Lovingson Voting precinct Parking Area

Mr. Carter noted that staff has checked this out and the area needed re-grading and gravel; however this could wait until just prior to the elections. Mr. Hale noted that this could be repaired at minimal expense and should be fixed. Supervisors agreed by consensus to wait until elections.

Ms. Brennan noted receipt of thank you cards from the FFA.

Mr. Harvey noted that the state had decided to not pay anything on new voting machines and it was noted that these vendors were coming for demonstrations.

D. Directives

Ms. Brennan, Mr. Hale, and Mr. Bruguiere noted they had no new directives.

February 10, 2015

Mr. Hale directed staff to have Woolpert respond to the committee's concerns regarding Phase II, well before bidding in April. He added that a new itemized list for changes made already was needed in advance.

Mr. Harvey then noted he was disturbed over the County's letter to Blue Mountain Brewery; which had been requested several years ago and they had recently spent money to comply unnecessarily; with the same result.

Mr. Carter disagreed noting that they had failed to comply with County regulations and after getting pressure, they were let off the hook.

Mr. Harvey indicated he did not agree and Mr. Saunders noted that Mr. Smack was surprised about the letter and he noted that he told him to not look back and to move forward.

Mr. Bruguere noted that Mr. Smack was upset and blamed the County for his VDOT woes; whereas site plan reviews always brought in all agencies. Mr. Harvey then noted that VDOT had indicated that they were only involved because the County had asked them to be.

Ms. Brennan then stated that this was a learning opportunity and things could now be done exactly so and everyone should be treated the same.

Mr. Bruguere noted that staff was going to have to lead everyone through the process one step at a time as there were a lot of hoops to jump through. Ms. Brennan agreed and noted that staff was trying to help people be successful and would need to babysit some.

Mr. Harvey then questioned something that Mr. Massie had done and Mr. Carter noted that Mr. Massie was to follow up on zoning complaints and if there was a violation; he would follow up appropriately.

Mr. Harvey then asked the Board for their position on the cardboard issue at Rockfish; noting that there was one attendant at Rockfish who did not allow a person in a company van to dump their trash and that person had even offered to show the attendant the trash.

Mr. Carter questioned the fairness of Mr. Harvey's point, and noted that the attendants were trained to follow the Ordinance and not allow commercial trash to be dumped at the collection sites. He noted that the Ordinance said that commercial waste was to be taken to the transfer station and a tipping fee paid. He noted that he had told the owner of the company that the people buying the furniture could dump the trash because it would be residential at that point; however if they dumped it, they would need to take it to the transfer station and pay a fee. He added that if the Board wanted to change the Ordinance, they were encouraged to do so; however otherwise, citizens had to follow the Ordinance that was adopted.

Mr. Saunders had the following Directives:

February 10, 2015

1. Gladstone dumpster site: Mr. Saunders noted he had looked at the site and noted that there was one compactor on site and trash was blowing around there. He noted he would like to see the entire lot paved out to Route 60. He added he would like to see the site monitored and cleaned up at least once a month. He advised that the concrete pad was 60 x 75 and there was a 130 ft. entrance going in. He noted that he had received complaints that the site was muddy in winter and dusty in summer and that people from other counties were dumping there. He asked staff to review this and make the site more presentable.

Paving the site was discussed and it was supposed that they could pave over top of the pads there or remove six inches of stone around it. It was noted that a cost estimate would be needed for this.

Mr. Hale questioned the possibility of moving this to the Gladstone Fire Department site and Mr. Harvey noted he thought the County did own the current site; which was confirmed by staff.

Mr. Saunders then indicated he would like a maintenance schedule developed for the site. Mr. Carter advised that one of the drivers' responsibilities was to clean the site; however they were probably not going there as often as he would like. He added that the issue was that people were randomly dropping trash on the ground.

Mr. Saunders then noted that if the site were cleaner or neater; people would take better care of it. Mr. Bruguere indicated that he would also like to see it paved and suggested getting bids for this.

2. Mr. Saunders noted getting an email from an east district constituent who wanted to know what could be done to put a telephone tower at the Faber Fire Department. Mr. Bruguere noted that the County has permitted cell tower sites all over the County that had not been built yet.

3. Mr. Saunders reported that a boat ramp in Norwood was forthcoming through the Prices and that Emily Harper was working on this.

## **VII. Adjournment – The Evening Session Has Been Cancelled**

At 5:40 pm, Ms. Brennan moved to adjourn and Mr. Hale seconded the motion. There being no further discussion, Supervisors voted unanimously by voice vote to approve the motion and the meeting adjourned.